EXHIBIT 15

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                        SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
     CISCO SYSTEMS,
                         )
     INC.,
 6
 7
          Plaintiff, )
              vs. ) No. 5:14-cv-05344-BlF (PSG)
 8
 9
     ARISTA NETWORKS,
10
     INC.,
          Defendant.
11
12
      CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
13
14
            VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY J. LI
15
16
                         Palo Alto, CA
17
                    Monday, February 1, 2016
                            Volume I
18
19
20
     Reported by: SUSAN F. MAGEE, RPR, CCRR, CLR
21
22
     CSR No. 11661
23
     JOB No. 2224600
24
     PAGES 1-258
25
                                                     Page 1
```

	UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	I INDEX
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	2
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION	3 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
4	4 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY J LI
5 CISCO SYSTEMS,)	5 Volume I
6 INC ,)	6 EXAMINATION BY PAGE
7 Plaintiff,)	7 BY MR WONG 9
8 vs) No 5:14-cv-05344-BIF (PSG)	8 BY MR PAK 191
9 ARISTA NETWORKS,)	9
10 INC.	10
11 Defendant)	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION UNDER THE	15
16 PROTECTIVE ORDER VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY J LI	16
17 taken on behalf of Defendant at WILSON, SONSINI,	17
17 taken on benait of Defendant at WILSON, SONSINI, 18 GOODRICH & ROSATI, 601 South California Avenue,	17
19 Palo Alto, CA 94304, beginning at 9:13 a m and	19
20 ending at 4:17 p m on Monday, February 1, 2016,	20
	21
21 before Susan F Magee, RPR, CCRR, CLR, Certified	
22 Shorthand Reporter No 11661	22
23	23
24	24
25 Page 2	Page 4
1 APPLADANCES	
1 APPEARANCES:	1 EXHIBITS
2	2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
3 For the Plaintiff:	3 A F 177 126 171 H. P. C. 160
4 QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & SULLIVAN	4 Exhibit 136 LinkedIn Profile (8 pages) 12
5 BY: SEAN PAK, ESQ.	5 Exhibit 137 RFC Table (3 pages) 90
6 50 California Street	6 Exhibit 138 March 1995 RFC 1771, A Border 100
7 22nd Floor	7 Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4) (57
8 San Francisco, CA 94111	8 pages)
9 (415) 875-6600	9 Exhibit 139 December 1995 RFC 1887, An 105
10 seanpak@quinnemanuel.com	10 Architecture for IPv6 Unicast
11	11 Address Allocation,
12 For the Defendant:	12 ARISTANDCA00025747-ARISTANDCA
13 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP	13 00025772
14 BY: RYAN WONG, ESQ.	14 Exhibit 140 June 1996 RFC 1966, BGP Route 111
BRIAN L. FERRALL, ESQ.	15 Reflection, An Alternative to
16 633 Battery Street	16 Full Mesh IBGP,
17 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809	17 ARISTANDCA00025927-ARISTANDCA
18 (415) 773-6682	18 00025933
19 rwong@kvn.com	19 Exhibit 141 October 2008 RFC 2966, 116
20 bferrall@kvn.com	20 Domain-Wide Prefix Distribution
21	21 with Two-Level IS-IS (16 pages)
The Videographer:	22 Exhibit 142 August 1996 RFC 1997, BGP 119
23 JEFREE ANDERSON	23 Communities Attribute,
24	24 ARISTANDCA00026094-ARISTANDCA
25	25 00026098
Page 3	Page 5

CONTIDENTIAL IN ORMATION	The state of the s
1 EXHIBITS (continued)	1 Palo Alto, CA, Monday February 1, 2016
2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 9:13 a.m.
3	3
4 Exhibit 143 March 1998 RFC 2281, Cisco Hot 12	1
5 Standby Router Protocol (HSRP),	5 the record at 9:13 a.m. on February 1st, 2016. This 09:13:47
6 ARISTANDCA00026832-ARISTANDCA	6 is the video recorded deposition of so sorry. Of
7 00026848	7 Anthony Li here with our court reporter Susan Magee.
8 Exhibit 144 E-mail String Containing 143	8 My name is Jefree Anderson. We are here
9 9/22/92 E-mail from/to Toni Li,	9 from Veritext Legal Solutions at the request of
10 TS-00000066	10 counsel for the defendant or the plaintiff? 09:14:16
11 Exhibit 145 Procket Networks PRO/8000 163	-
1	MR. WONG: Defendants.
12 Series Software Introduction	12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: For the defendant. This
13 (144 pages)	13 deposition is being held at Wilson Sonsini at
14 Exhibit 146 Procket Networks PRO/8000 164	14 601 California Avenue, Palo Alto, California. The
15 Series IPv6 Routing Protocols	15 caption of this case is Cisco Systems, Incorporated 09:14:31
16 (180 pages)	16 vs. Arista Networks, Incorporated. The case number
17 Exhibit 147 Procket Networks PRO/8000 164	17 is 5:14-cv-05344.
18 Series System Management and	18 Please note that audio and video recording
19 Operations (604 pages)	19 will take place unless all parties agree to go off
20 Exhibit 148 Cisco's 6th Supplemental 167	20 the record, and microphones are sensitive and may 09:14:53
21 Response to Interrogatory NO.	21 pick up whispers, private conversations and cellular
22 16 and Response to	22 interference; so please be aware of that.
23 Interrogatory No. 19 Amended	23 Beginning with our noticing attorney,
24 Exhibit F (45 pages)	24 please state your name and the firm you represent.
25 Exhibit 149 List of Commands (1 page) 169	25 MR. WONG: Ryan Wong from Keker & Van Nest 09:15:05
Page 6	Page 8
1 EXHIBITS (continued)	1 for defendant Arista Networks.
2 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE	2 MR. FERRALL: Brian Ferrall, Keker & Van
3	3 Nest, also for Arista.
4 Exhibit 150 1/20/96 E-mail from Toni Li to 183	4 MR, PAK: Sean Pak of Quinn for Cisco.
5 Bill W., CSI-CLI-00746246	5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. 09:15:16
6 Exhibit 151 CSCdi14533, CSI-CLI-01339850 185	6 Will the court reporter please swear in the
7 Exhibit 152 Group of E-mails Containing 239	7 witness.
8 2/23/1996 E-mail from Tony Li	8
9 to widmer@cisco.com,	9 ANTHONY J. LI.
10 CSI-CLI-00746331 -	10 having been administered an oath, was examined and 09:15:19
11 CSI-CLI-00746347	11 testified as follows:
12	12
13	13 EXAMINATION BY MR. WONG
13	14 EXAMINATION BY MR. WONG
15	
	15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Li. 09:15:29
16	16 A. Good morning.
17	17 Q. Please state your full name.
18	18 A. Anthony Joseph Li.
19	19 Q. Do you live in the Bay Area, Mr. Li?
20	20 A. I do. 09:15:36
21	21
22	
23	
24	24 Q. Mr. Li, do you understand that are you
25	25 testifying here in response to a subpoena in this 09:15:46
Page 7	Page 9

1 DEC systems, also had several IBM systems. VMCMS is 2 an operating system for IBM mainframes, and USC had 3 one and I had an account on the VM system. 4 Q. And what was the command syntax like for 5 the CLI on VMCMS? 09:45:55 6 A. I'm sorry. 1 don't remember. 7 Q. You mentioned RSX-IIM? 8 A. It's 11M. 9 Q. 11M. Sorry. 10 A. This was an operating system for PDP-11s. 09:46:06 11 Q. What are PDP-11s? 12 A. That was a computer built by 13 Digital Equipment Corporation. 14 Q. Do you recall the command syntax of the 15 command line interface used on the RSX-11M? 09:46:25 16 A. No, I'm sorry. I don't. 17 Q. You mentioned that the LinkedIn profile 18 that we marked as Exhibit 136 did not have your full 19 work history? 20 A. Correct. 09:46:46 21 Q. What work history is missing from your 22 LinkedIn profile? 23 A. In particular the sys admin positions that 24 I mentioned, summer internships predating. There	1 projects throughout the router. I started off doing 2 mostly maintenance work and answering customer 3 questions. I then had several development projects. 4 My first development project was implementing 5 something called TCP header compression. 09:48:41 6 Q. And after you worked on TCP header 7 compression, what else did you work on while at 8 Cisco? 9 A. I had numerous routing small projects 10 within routing extending various interfaces and 09:48:58 11 extending protocols as necessary. 12 My next big project was actually working on 13 BGP, Border Gateway Protocol. 14 BY MR. WONG: Q. You mentioned TCP header 15 expression. What does TCP mean? 09:49:22 16 A. That's Transmission Control Protocol. It's 17 part of the Internet Protocol suite. 18 Q. Is TCP an industry standard? 19 A. It is. 20 Q. Was it an industry standard at the time you 09:49:37 21 worked on it at Cisco? 22 A. It was. 23 Q. What standard-setting body produced the TCP 24 standard?
25 were several of those. Full-time positions that are 09:46:59 Page 30	25 A. That's a difficult question. The TCP 09:49:49 Page 32
1 not relevant to my professional experience, 2 particularly while I was in high school. 3 Q. Sure. After you graduated from USC, what 4 did you do then? 5 A. So I next fall I went to Rutgers and 09:47:20 6 spent a year there, hated it and immediately 7 transferred to USC. 8 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. My question was after you 9 graduated from USC, what did you do after that? 10 A. After USC? So I graduated in September 09:47:38 11 of 1990. I worked on a postdoc at USC with 12 Deborah Estrin and then took a position at 13 Cisco Systems. 14 Q. Do you know when you started at 15 Cisco Systems? 09:47:53 16 A. January 14th, 1991. 17 Q. Why did you join Cisco after graduating 18 from USC? 19 A. Lack of a better job. 20 Q. Did you apply elsewhere besides Cisco? 09:48:02 21 A. I did. 22 Q. And describe for me the projects that you 23 worked on while you worked at Cisco starting in 24 1991.	1 standard was really a product of I guess the 2 ARPANET project, but this actually predates IETF 3 being accepted as a standards-making body, which is 4 a whole book in itself. Great deal of politics 5 behind that. So it was a de facto standard 09:50:16 6 effectively. 7 Q. What do you mean by "de facto standard"? 8 A. Which meant that the industry used it and 9 it was publicly available, everyone was free to 10 adopt it, and yet it did not have the backing of a 09:50:36 11 formal standards body such as the IEEE. 12 MR. PAK: I'll object to this line of 13 questioning as calling for expert testimony. 14 BY MR. WONG: Q. Now, you said that the 15 TCP standard was really a product of ARPANET; 09:51:10 16 correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. What is ARPANET? 19 A. ARPANET was a project from the Defense 20 Department's Advanced Research Projects Agency to 09:51:18 21 build a network for computers that was highly robust 22 and relayed data between computers efficiently. 23 Q. How do you know that, Mr. Li? 24 A. Having worked on it for many, many years
 24 1991. 25 A. I worked on a wide, wide variety of 09:48:22 Page 31 	24 A. Having worked on it for many, many years 25 and been involved with it as soon as it became 09:51:34 Page 3

1 available to USC and Rutgers.	1 A. IETF.
2 Q. And by "it," you mean ARPANET?	Q. What does HTTP stand for?
3 A. ARPANET.	3 A. Hypertext Transfer Protocol.
4 Q. You mentioned that TCP was part of an	4 Q. You mentioned RIP; correct?
5 Internet Protocol suite. Is that what you said? 09:51:47	5 A. Correct. 09:54:18
6 A. Correct.	6 Q. What does is that is that called RIP
7 Q. Were there any other protocols that were	7 by the industry?
8 part of the Internet Protocol suite?	8 A. Normally pronounced that way, yes.
9 A. Many.	9 Q. What does RIP stand for?
Q. Can you list off for me the protocols that 09:51:55	10 A. Routing Information Protocol. 09:54:27
11 you remember being part of the Internet Protocol	11 Q. Routing Information Protocol is also part
12 suite.	12 of the Internet Protocol suite you mentioned?
A. I'll give you a small set. HTTP; BGP; RIP,	13 A. It is.
14 R-I-P; DNS; DHCP. I could go on, but Susan's	Q. Is Routing Information Protocol an industry
15 fingers are going to fall off. 09:52:17	15 standard? 09:54:43
16 Q. You mentioned HTTP.	16 A. Yes, it is.
17 Is HTTP an industry standard?	17 Q. How long has Routing Information Protocol
18 A. It is.	18 been an industry standard?
19 Q. How do you know that?	19 A. I don't know when the RFC came out.
A. There is an RFC on it. I don't know what 09:52:31	Q. And what is the standard-setting body that 09:54:
21 its exact standard status is but I believe it's at	21 manages the RIP protocol?
22 least proposed standard.	22 A. IETF.
Q. And how long has HTTP been an industry	Q. You mentioned DHCP?
24 standard, to your knowledge?	A. Correct.
25 MR. PAK: Calls for expert testimony. 09:52:49 Page 34	Q. What does DHCP stand for? 09:55:09 Page 36
 3 Mr. Li? 4 A. I first used a Web browser about that time, 5 and had some involvement in developing a Web server 09:53:02 6 for the Cisco router. 7 Q. You mentioned BGP? 8 A. Correct. 	 A. It is. Q. How do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I've read the RFC. 09:55:21 Q. What is the standard-setting body that 7 manages DHCP?
9 Q. What does BGP stand for? 10 A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09:53:23 11 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol 12 suite? 13 A. Yes, it was. 14 Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? 15 A. It is. 09:53:33	 A. The IETF. Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42. A. Since the early '90s. Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. He read the RFC, Q. Back in the early '90s? A. Yes. 09:55:51
9 Q. What does BGP stand for? 10 A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09:53:23 11 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol 12 suite? 13 A. Yes, it was. 14 Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? 15 A. It is. 09:53:33 16 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li?	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC, 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that.
9 Q. What does BGP stand for? 10 A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09;53;23 11 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol 12 suite? 13 A. Yes, it was. 14 Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? 15 A. It is, 09;53;33 16 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 17 A. I helped write the latest RFC on that,	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC, 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09;53;23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard,	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC. 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09;53;23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, to your knowledge?	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42. 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC. 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite?
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09:53:23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, your knowledge? A. BGP? 09:53:48	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC. 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite? 20 A. Many. 09:56:13
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09:53:23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, your knowledge? A. BGP? 09:53:48	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC, 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite? 20 A. Many. 09:56:13 21 Q. Can you list for me a few more well-known
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09:53:23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, to your knowledge? A. BGP? 09:53:48 Q. BGP. A. BGP has been an industry standard since	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC, 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite? 20 A. Many. 09:56:13 21 Q. Can you list for me a few more well-known 22 protocols from the Internet Protocol suite?
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09;53;23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, to your knowledge? A. BGP? 09:53:48 Q. BGP. A. BGP has been an industry standard since approximately 1993.	Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC. 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite? 20 A. Many. 09:56:13 21 Q. Can you list for me a few more well-known 22 protocols from the Internet Protocol suite? 23 A. Well, the base protocol is IP, Internet
Q. What does BGP stand for? A. Border Gateway Protocol. 09;53;23 Q. And BGP was part of the Internet Protocol suite? A. Yes, it was. Q. Was BGP also an industry standard? A. It is. 09:53:33 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. I helped write the latest RFC on that. Q. How long has BGP been an industry standard, to your knowledge? A. BGP? 09:53:48 Q. BGP. A. BGP has been an industry standard since approximately 1993.	9 Q. How long has DHCP been an industry 10 standard, to your knowledge? 09:55:42 11 A. Since the early '90s. 12 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li? 13 A. He read the RFC. 14 Q. Back in the early '90s? 15 A. Yes. 09:55:51 16 Q. Why were you strike that. 17 Besides HTTP, BGP, RIP and DHCP, are there 18 any other well-known protocols that are part of the 19 Internet Protocol suite? 20 A. Many. 09:56:13 21 Q. Can you list for me a few more well-known 22 protocols from the Internet Protocol suite?

ī	FTP; the Simple Mail Transfer Protocol, SMTP; Post	1	connection collisions.
2	Office Protocol, POP; IMAP which is another mail	2	MR. PAK: At this point I'd like to mark
3	protocol.	3	this deposition transcript as confidential
4	Q. And the protocols you just mentioned, are		information under the protective order.
5	all of them industry standards, to your knowledge? 09:57:04	5	
6		6	time period did you work on this starter project on
7			BGP?
8	Internet Protocol?	8	A. Approximately 1992.
9	A. Internet Engineering Task Force.	9	Q. What were you upgrading from BGP Version 2
10		10	to BGP Version 3? 09:59:57
11	DNS protocol?	11	A. So the internal implementation of BGP
12	A. IETF.	12	required a change. The version number required
13	Q. Is the IETF the standard-setting body for		changing.
14	each of the protocols you just mentioned?	14	
15		15	what do you mean by that? 10:00:14
16	Q. We just went through several acronyms for	16	
	different industry standard protocols; correct?	125	functions inside the router.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. And describe for me generally what is the
19	Q. Was "HTTP" a well-known term used in the	0.90	function of a router?
	networking industry at the time that you first 09:58:00	20	The state of the s
	started working with it?	21	decide where they should go and then send them out
22	A. No, it was not well-known.	3000	to the best interface in the network.
23	Q. When did you start working with HTTP again?	23	
24	A. Very early '90s. Probably '92, '93 time	100	you mean by "interface"?
	frame. 09:58:17	25	
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. Did HTTP ever become a well-known acronym	100	another router via a link of some flavor.
	in the industry?	100	Communications channel.
3	A. Yes. It's very well-known.	3	
4	Q. It's very well-known today?	1	time that you were working on this BGP project for
5	A. Today. 09:58:27	5	Cisco? 10:01:17
6	Q. Do you approximately when HTTP became a	6	
7	well-known acronym, to your knowledge?	7	
8	MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert	8	Q. Were there any particular routers that your
	testimony.	1 4	project applied to?
10	THE WITNESS: Approximately 1995. 09:58:33	10	
11	BY MR. WONG: Q. Why do you say 1995,	100	Plus and the remainder of Cisco's product line at
2	Mr. Li?	100	the time.
3	A. That's when most people started using the	13	
	Web.	7.0	else did you do at Cisco?
5	Q. Let's go back to your description of 09:58:40	15	
	responsibilities when you were working at Cisco		silicon switch engine, various other routing
	starting in 1991.	100	protocol maintenance tasks, the router called GSR.
8	The last thing you mentioned was that you	18	Q. And just to be clear, Mr. Li, are we
9	started working on a BGP project; correct?	11 4	talking about the time period where you first
0	A. Correct. 09:59:07	UIOU	started working at Cisco in 1991? 10:02:37
1	Q. Describe for me what that BGP project	21	A. That was just the '91 through '96 time
2	entailed.		frame.
23	A. So my starter project on BGP was to upgrade	23	Q. Now, you mentioned performing various other
	it from BGP Version 2 to Version 3 of the protocol.		routing protocol maintenance tasks.
15	This involved adding a small mechanism for resolving 09:59:21 Page 39	25	What other routing protocols did you work 10:02:54 Page 41

i with during this 1991 through 1996 time period at	1 A. The standard the standard for IS-IS.
2 Cisco?	2 MR. PAK: Ryan, when you get a chance, can
3 A. Everything else in the IP protocol suite	3 we take a break? We've been going for about an
4 within Cisco. This includes RIP, IGRP, EIGRP, EGP,	4 hour.
5 OSPF, IS-IS. I also had my hands in some of the 10:03:14	5 MR. WONG: Sure. We can take a break now. 10:05:45
6 CLNS stack.	6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7 Q. What is OSPF?	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
8 A. Open Shortest Path First routing protocol	8 The time is 10:05.
9 from the IETF.	9 (Recess taken from 10:05 a.m. to
0 THE REPORTER: Would you mind repeating 10:03:43	10 10:11 a.m.) 10:11:25
1 that. I'm sorry.	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
THE WITNESS: Open Shortest Path First	12 record. The time is 10:11.
3 routing protocol from the IETF.	13 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, you used the
4 THE REPORTER: Thank you.	14 acronym BGP to refer to the Border Gateway Protocol;
BY MR, WONG: Q. And the RIP and the IGRP 10:03:51	15 correct? 10:11:46
6 you just mentioned, those are the same RIP and IGRP	16 A. Correct.
7 you were discussing earlier today; correct?	17 Q. Is BGP a commonly known acronym for Border
8 A. Yes.	18 Gateway Protocol?
9 Q. You mentioned IS-IS.	19 A. No, not common.
0 What is IS-IS? 10:04:00	20 Q. Okay. Is it a strike that, 10:11:54
A. This is another routing protocol that comes	21 Why do you use the term "BGP" to refer to
2 from the ISO protocol stack and the OSI standards	22 the Border Gateway Protocol?
3 body. It supports routing for both CLNP and IP.	23 A. So that's the acronym that is used within
4 Q. What is CLNP?	24 the industry.
5 A. Connectionless Network Protocol. 10:04;25 Page 42	Q. When you say that's the acronym that's used 10:12:10 Page 4-
Q. And is that protocol also an industry	1 within the industry, you're referring to the BGP
2 standard?	2 acronym; correct?
3 A. It is.	3 A. Correct,
Q. What is the standard-setting body that	4 Q. And when you say "the industry," what do
5 manages CLNP? 10:04:37	5 you mean by "the industry"? 10:12:21
6 A. ISO.	6 A. Computer network.
7 Q. What is ISO?	7 Q. And how long as BGP been used as an acronym
8 A. International Standards Organization.	8 within the computer networking industry, to your
9 Although that's more formally it's the official	9 knowledge?
0 name is in French, so 10:04:53	10 A. Since BGP was first introduced, which I 10:12:4
 Q. When you were talking about IS-IS, you 	11 believe was approximately 1989.
2 mentioned the OSI standards body.	12 Q. Okay. And why do you use the term "RIP" or
3 Do you remember that?	13 R-I-P to refer to Router Information Protocol?
4 A. That's correct.	A. That is the common acronym used for that
Q. What is the OSI standards body? 10:05:04	15 protocol, 10:13:21
6 A. Open systems I don't remember the full	Q. In the networking industry?
7 expansion. Sorry.	17 A. In the networking industry,
8 Q. Okay. So who was the standard-setting body	18 Q. And how long has RIP been a commonly used
9 for IS-IS?	19 acronym in the networking industry?
A. I believe that was falls under ISO which 10:05:20	20 A. I don't know. 10:13:30
1 is the child of OSI.	21 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert
Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li?	22 testimony.
A. I've read the document.	23 BY MR. WONG: Q. Okay. But to your
Q. When you say "the document," do you mean	24 knowledge, it is a commonly used acronym in the
. Q. Then you say the destineing do you mean	
5 the 10:05:34	25 networking industry today? 10:13:39

1 A. It is.	1 working for Cisco in 1991?
Q. Do you know when you first started using	2 A. Approximately three.
3 the acronym RIP?	Q. What was your familiarity with the command
4 A. 1991 when I came to Cisco.	4 line interface on Cisco's routers before you started
5 Q. And did you come up with the acronym RIP? 10:13:48	5 working at Cisco in 1991? 10:16:30
6 A. No, I did not.	6 A. So I used Cisco's CLI for those three years
7 Q. Where did you get that acronym from?	7 between '87 and 1991.
8 A. I heard it from coworkers first.	8 Q. What level of familiarity strike that.
Q. And you did not come with the acronym BGP;	9 Was OSPF a well-known acronym in the
0 correct? 10:14:07	10 networking industry? Actually, strike that. 10:17:02
1 A. Correct.	Is OSPF a well-known acronym in the
Q. Where did you first hear the acronym BGP?	12 networking industry?
 A. From discussions on a Usenet mailing list. 	A. Yes, it is very well-known.
4 Q. What is a Usenet mailing list?	Q. And when did you first hear of the acronym
5 A. Usenet was a system for exchanging 10:14:23	15 OSPF, Mr. Li? 10:17:12
6 messaging in a broadcast fashion, and there were	A. As part of my employment at Cisco.
7 groups within that where people would circulate	17 Q. Approximately when did you hear first
8 messages. And so there was a discussion of routing	18 hear of OSPF?
9 protocols, and I heard about it first through that.	19 A. About 1992.
Q. And what time period are you talking about 10:14:45	Q. Approximately how long has "OSPF" been a 10:17:23
1 here when you first heard the acronym BGP?	21 well-known term in the networking industry, to your
A. This would be somewhere between about 1985	22 knowledge?
3 to 1990.	23 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert
 Q. So that was before you started working at 	24 testimony.
5 Cisco; correct? 10:15:01	25 THE WITNESS: 1 suspect at least 1989. 10:17:32
Page 46	Page 4
I A. Correct.	1 BY MR. WONG: Q. Why do you say that,
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry?	 2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is.	2 Mr. Li?3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17	 2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking	 2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry?	 2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that?
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol.
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987.	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right?	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it,
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not.	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP?	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. 1 knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period?
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training.	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training. Q. And that was before you joined Cisco in 10:15:58	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 10:18:48
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training. Q. And that was before you joined Cisco in 10:15:58 1 1991; right?	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 10:18:48 21 THE WITNESS: So John Moy, Milo Medin,
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training. Q. And that was before you joined Cisco in 10:15:58 1991; right? A. That's correct. I was a customer before an	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 10:18:48 21 THE WITNESS: So John Moy, Milo Medin, 22 Vince Fuller, Cathy Wittbrodt. Don't remember the
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training. Q. And that was before you joined Cisco in 10:15:58 1991; right? A. That's correct. I was a customer before an employee.	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 10:18:48 21 THE WITNESS: So John Moy, Milo Medin, 22 Vince Fuller, Cathy Wittbrodt. Don't remember the
Q. Is "IGRP" also a commonly used term in the networking industry? A. It is. Q. And how long, to your knowledge, has "IGRP" 10:15:17 been a commonly used term in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: I recall seeing it very early 10:15:24 on. I first learned about it in 1987. BY MR. WONG: Q. And you did not come up with the acronym IGRP; right? A. No, I did not. Q. Do you recall how you first learned about 10:15:38 the acronym IGRP? A. So I was asked to administer a Cisco router in 1987 and was did Cisco training and learned about IGRP through that training. Q. And that was before you joined Cisco in 10:15:58 1 1991; right? A. That's correct. I was a customer before an	2 Mr. Li? 3 A. So there's work started on OSPF early on 4 prior to my joining Cisco and prior to my learning 5 about it, and I believe that was about '89. 10:17:44 6 Q. When you say there was work started on 7 OSPF, what are you referring to by that? 8 A. This is work in the IETF to specify the 9 protocol. 10 Q. And how did you know that there was work 10:18:02 11 started on OSPF by the IETF around 1989? 12 A. So there was a discussion list about it, 13 and I looked at some the history of OSPF and looked 14 at the RFC that subsequently came out. I knew that 15 folks had been working on it for quite some time. 10:18:33 16 Q. Who was participating in the discussion 17 list about OSPF at that 1989 time period? 18 A. I 19 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 10:18:48 21 THE WITNESS: So John Moy, Milo Medin, 22 Vince Fuller, Cathy Wittbrodt. Don't remember the

1 discussion of OSPF in 1989?	1 standard?
 A. I subsequently worked with them as part of 	2 A. Not offhand.
3 IETF and learned of their involvement with OSPF.	3 Q. Is IS-IS a well-known acronym in the
4 Q. You worked strike that.	4 networking industry?
5 When did you work with those individuals as 10:19:31	5 A. Largely, no. 10:22:41
6 part of the IETF?	6 Q. How do you know the IS-IS acronym?
 A. I started working with them in 1991. 	7 A. I'm part of a small group who've made use
8 Q. What companies, if you recall, did those	8 of the protocol.
9 individuals work for?	9 Q. Is IS-IS a well-known acronym amongst those
A. John Moy represented Proteon. Milo Medin 10:19:50	10 who make use of the IS-IS protocol? 10:23:01
1 worked for NASA. Cathy Wittbrodt was at	11 A. Yes, it is.
2 Energy Sciences Network at as part of	12 Q. Why is it a smaller group that makes use of
3 Lawrence Livermore Labs.	13 the IS-IS protocol?
 Q. Did any other vendors strike that. 	14 A. So IS-IS is part of the ISO protocol stack
Did any other companies or organizations 10:20:20	15 which ended up not having a significant market 10:23:15
6 besides the ones you just mentioned participate in	16 share, and thus there's a very small user base.
7 OSPF standardization?	17 Only a very small portion of the I net IP
8 MR, PAK: Objection, Calls for	18 networking industry ended up using IS-IS, and so the
9 speculation. Calls for expert testimony.	19 number of people that use IS-IS for IP routing is
0 THE WITNESS: So I'm certain that several 10:20;32	20 very, very small. 10:23:38
1 others did. The best way to check would be to look	Q. How long has IS-IS been a well-known
2 at the IETF attendance records.	22 acronym amongst those who make use of the IS-IS
3 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say you're	23 protocol, to your knowledge?
4 certain that several others did, why are you so	24 A. At least 1991
5 certain? 10:20:43	Q. And when did when did you first hear of 10:23:50
. Valendar beland at Flaguette	Application and the second
1 A The IETE hypically has degree of people	I that C IC agrangem?
1 A. The IETF typically has dozens of people	1 the IS-IS acronym? A 1991 when Lighted Cisco
2 operating, working together on any given protocol.	2 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco.
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that,	 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li?	 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the 4 networking industry?
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57	 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the 4 networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of	 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the 4 networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working.	 A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry?
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection, Calls for expert
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991?	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony.
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the metworking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? 9 A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then.	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection, Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry?
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? 0 A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983.
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? 6 A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol,	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection, Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol, 5 are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym 10:24:44
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol, 5 are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 6 companies?	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well O. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. Well-known acronym in the networking industry? Well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym TIP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of working. Q. How many years have you been participating in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 I through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens of people working together on any given protocol, are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection, Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR, WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym IP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the — first read the
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of working. Q. How many years have you been participating in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 I through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens of people working together on any given protocol, are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for speculation. Vague.	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym In the computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP.
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol, 5 are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 6 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 8 speculation. Vague. THE WITNESS: Typically the group	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well O. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. Well-known acronym in the networking industry? Well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym IP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the — first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a — let me start that again.
operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of working. Q. How many years have you been participating in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 I through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens of people working together on any given protocol, are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for speculation. Vague. THE WITNESS: Typically the group working groups that are working on a protocol draw 10:21:54	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym IP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a let me start that again. Is "BGP" a well-known term in the 10:25:25
operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of working. Q. How many years have you been participating in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 I through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens of people working together on any given protocol, are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for speculation. Vague. THE WITNESS: Typically the group working groups that are working on a protocol draw 10:21:54 people from all sorts of different companies and	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco. Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym IP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a let me start that again. Is "BGP" a well-known term in the 10:25:25 1 networking industry?
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? 0 A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol, 5 are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 6 companies? 7 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 8 speculation. Vague. 9 THE WITNESS: Typically the group 10 working groups that are working on a protocol draw 10:21:54 1 people from all sorts of different companies and 2 organizations.	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym LIP? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a let me start that again. Is "BGP" a well-known term in the 10:25:25 Inetworking industry? A. It is.
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. 3 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 4 Mr. Li? 5 A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 6 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of 7 working. 8 Q. How many years have you been participating 9 in the IETF since 1991? 9 A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 1 through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then 2 it's been sporadic since then. 3 Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens 4 of people working together on any given protocol, 5 are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 6 companies? 7 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 8 speculation. Vague. 9 THE WITNESS: Typically the group 10 working groups that are working on a protocol draw 10:21:54 1 people from all sorts of different companies and 2 organizations. BY MR. WONG: Q. Can you think of any	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. 10:24:07 Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. 10:24:19 BY MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym In the acronym in the networking industry? 10:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a let me start that again. Is "BGP" a well-known term in the to:25:25 networking industry? A. It is. Q. How long has "BGP" been a well-known term
2 operating, working together on any given protocol. Q. And how do you how do you know that, Mr. Li? A. So that's I started participating in the 10:20:57 IETF in 1991, and that's their standard way of working. Q. How many years have you been participating in the IETF since 1991? A. I participated quite consistently up and 10:21:15 I through about from 1991 to about 1999, and then it's been sporadic since then. Q. When you say the IETF typically has dozens of people working together on any given protocol, are those people from the same company or different 10:21:42 companies? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for speculation. Vague. THE WITNESS: Typically the group working groups that are working on a protocol draw 10:21:54 people from all sorts of different companies and organizations.	A. 1991 when I joined Cisco Q. Is "IP" a well-known industry term in the networking industry? A. Very well. Q. In your view, what other acronyms are as well-known as IP in the networking industry? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert testimony. THE WITNESS: TCP, TCP/IP, WWW. Well-known acronym in the networking industry? MR. WONG: Q. How long has IP been a well-known acronym in the networking industry? A. At least since 1983. Q. And when did you first learn of the acronym LIP? Uo:24:44 A. Approximately 1984 I took a class in computer networking and read the first read the RFCs on IP. Q. Is BGP a let me start that again. Is "BGP" a well-known term in the 10:25:25 Inetworking industry? A. It is.

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

1 testimony.	1 What did that entail, maintaining DHCP
THE WITNESS: Probably since about 1993.	2 relay functionality in Cisco IOS?
3 BY MR, WONG: Q. And why do you say that	 A. Means that I had to look at the source
4 "BGP" has been a well-known term in the networking	4 code, read the DHCP RFC, test the behavior of the
5 industry since 1993? 10:25:47	5 Cisco DHCP relay and then repair the functionality 10:28:49
 A. I'm an expert in BGP. 	6 in the source code as necessary.
Q. Why do you say that you are an expert in	7 Q. At some point, Mr. Li, you left Cisco's
8 BGP?	8 employment; correct?
9 A. I helped deploy BGP throughout the	9 A. Several times.
0 Internet. 10:26:00	10 Q. When you started at Cisco in 1991, when did 10:29:12
Q. What did you do to help deploy BGP	11 you leave?
12 throughout the Internet?	12 A. I believe it was 1996.
A. So I was responsible for maintaining and	13 Q. What did you do after you left Cisco in
4 enhancing BGP. I was responsible for doing a great	14 1996?
5 deal of bug fixing to BGP. And as part of that, I 10:26:17	15 A. After a while I joined Juniper Networks. 10:29:28
16 ended up reimplementing much of Cisco's BGP code and	16 Q. And what was Juniper's business at the
7 replacing the vast majority of the code that they	17 time?
8 had.	18 A. Juniper was a startup in the computer
9 Q. And when did you first hear of the acronym	19 networking space.
20 BGP? 10:26:43	20 Q. What was Juniper's main product at the 10:29:41
A. Again, I believe it was in the late '80s as	21 time?
22 part of the Usenet group.	22 A. They had no product initially, and their
Q. Is "DNS" a well-known term in the	23 first product was a router, the M40, and I believe
24 networking industry?	24 that came out in 1998.
25 A. It is. 10:27:07 Page 54	Q. Did you work on the M40 Juniper router? 10:29:59 Page 5
1 Q. How long has "DNS" been a well-known term	1 A. 1 did.
2 in the networking industry, Mr. Li?	 Q. Now, you said Juniper had no product
 A. At least since late '80s. 	3 initially.
4 Q. When did you first learn of the term "DNS"?	4 Did they have no product when you joined
5 A. I was a sys admin at USC at the time. 10:27:19	5 them in 1996? 10:30:16
6 Could have been anywhere from '83 on.	6 A. That's correct. We were a startup. We
 Q. How do you know that "DNS" has been a 	7 had I was Employee No. 5. We had an office, and
8 well-known term in the networking industry since the	8 that was it.
9 late 1980s?	9 Q. Who were Juniper's competitors?
0 A. So I would helped convert USC from using 10:27:40	10 A. At the time it was Cisco. I believe Pluris 10:30:30
1 host,text, which was previous system, to using DNS.	11 came along shortly thereafter, but I don't know
2 Q. Is "DHCP" a well-known term in the	12 exactly when. There was another company called
3 networking industry?	13 NetStar. Wellfleet. Proteon had not quite gone
4 A. It is.	14 under.
5 Q. How long has "DHCP" been a well-known term 10:28:00	15 That's all I can remember. 10:31:03
6 in the networking industry?	16 Q. Now, you said you were Employee No. 5;
7 A. I don't know.	17 correct?
	18 A. Correct.
	III () Whose did the other first open lavage of
9 DHCP?	19 Q. Where did the other first employees at
9 DHCP? :0 A. Probably 1991. 10:28:08	20 Juniper come from? 10:31:15
9 DHCP? 0 A. Probably 1991. 10:28:08 1 Q. Why do you think you first heard of DHCP in	20 Juniper come from? 10:31:15 21 A. So the founder Pradeep Sindhu was coming
9 DHCP? 10 A. Probably 1991. 10:28:08 11 Q. Why do you think you first heard of DHCP in 12:21991?	 20 Juniper come from? 10:31:15 21 A. So the founder Pradeep Sindhu was coming 22 out of Xerox PARC and Sun. Bjorn Liencres I believe
9 DHCP? 10 A. Probably 1991. 10:28:08 11 Q. Why do you think you first heard of DHCP in 12 1991? 13 A. I helped maintain DHCP relay functionality	 20 Juniper come from? 10:31:15 21 A. So the founder Pradeep Sindhu was coming 22 out of Xerox PARC and Sun. Bjorn Liencres I believe 23 was Sun. Dennis Ferguson, I knew him through IETF,
9 DHCP? 20 A. Probably 1991. 10:28:08 21 Q. Why do you think you first heard of DHCP in 22 1991?	 20 Juniper come from? 10:31:15 21 A. So the founder Pradeep Sindhu was coming 22 out of Xerox PARC and Sun. Bjorn Liencres I believe

1 twicel to coll?	1 O. Um come. Did you say (0040)
1 tried to sell?	Q. I'm sorry. Did you say 1984?
2 A. There were two products, 8812 and 8801.	2 A. 2004 Excuse me.
3 Both of these were high-end Internet routers.	3 Q. How do you know that, Mr. Li?
4 Q. When you say "high-end Internet routers,"	4 A. So as founder and stockholder in the
5 what do you mean by that? 10:37:11	5 company, I was involved in the legal proceedings. 10:40:40
6 A. These are routers that had high bandwidth.	6 Q. So you were a founder of Procket Networks,
7 The 8801 was a 40-gigabit router, 8812 was a	7 Mr. Li?
8 480-gigabit router, and both of these spoke Internet	8 A. I was.
9 Protocol only.	9 Q. Were there multiple founders of
O Q. You say both of these spoke Internet 10:37:30	10 Procket Networks? 10:40:54
1 Protocol only.	11 A. There were three. The other two are
2 You're referring does Internet Protocol	12 Bill Lynch and Sharad Mehrotra.
3 mean IP?	13 Q. How did you decide to create
4 A. Yes, it does.	14 Procket Networks, Mr. Li?
5 Q. What other protocols strike that, 10:37:45	15 A. So I was introduced to Procket Networks by 10:41:10
6 Are there any other protocols that a router	16 Stuart Phillips who had been my boss at Cisco, and
7 could speak besides IP?	17 he introduced me to these two gentlemen who were
8 A. There are many.	18 working on a network processor at the time.
9 Q. Can you give me two or three examples of	19 Once we started discussing their business
20 protocols that a router could speak besides IP? 10:38:05	20 venture of building a network processor, it became 10:41:28
A. DECnet, IPX, Xms, Apollo.	21 very apparent that they were going to have to build
2 Q. Who were Procket's competitors?	22 a demo system to demonstrate how to implement their
3 A. Primarily Cisco and Juniper.	23 network processor. And from there it seemed like it
Q. And why do you say that?	24 would be very, very simple to make a small change
 A. They had the overwhelming majority of Page 62 	25 and build a full router out of it. 10:41:48 Page 6
I market share at the time.	I Q. So building a full router was not the
Q. Market share in high-end Internet routers?	2 initial idea for Procket Networks; is that right?
3 A. Yes.	3 A. No, it was not.
7	
All of the Control of	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips?
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper	
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips?
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01
 Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 	 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been
 Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 	 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct?
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct.	 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct.
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28	 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what.	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. 2 Gateway switch router, but	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes.
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. 2 Gateway switch router, but 3 Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work	Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time period? 10:42:16 A. At the end of it, yes. Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. 2 Gateway switch router, but 3 Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work 4 on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and	Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time period? 10:42:16 A. At the end of it, yes. Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when A. No, he was not. He was a venture
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. 2 Gateway switch router, but 3 Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work 4 on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 5 1996? 10:39:49	Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time period? 10:42:16 A. At the end of it, yes. Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when A. No, he was not. He was a venture tapitalist at U.S. Venture Partners.
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. 1 helped with the initial	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31
4 Q. And what were the competing Juniper 5 products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 6 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the 7 Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS, 8 Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? 9 A. That's correct. 0 Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 1 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. 2 Gateway switch router, but 3 Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work 4 on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 5 1996? 10:39:49 6 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial 7 design phases.	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks?
 Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? 	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks? 17 A. No, he was not.
 Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. 	Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time lo period? 10:42:16 A. At the end of it, yes. Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when A. No, he was not. He was a venture capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 Procket Networks? A. No, he was not. Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' involvement ine Procket Networks?
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. Q. What happened to Procket Networks? 10:39:57	Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? A. That's correct. 10:42:01 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been your boss at Cisco; correct? A. Correct. Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 A. At the end of it, yes. Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when A. No, he was not. He was a venture capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 Procket Networks? A. No, he was not. Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' involvement ine Procket Networks? A. So he was the representative from USVP who 10:42:43
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? 10:39:01 A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. Q. What happened to Procket Networks? A. It was acquired by Cisco in 2004. Strike	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks? 17 A. No, he was not. 18 Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' 19 involvement ine Procket Networks? 20 A. So he was the representative from USVP who 10:42:43 21 was trying to fund and encourage Procket to grow.
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. Q. What happened to Procket Networks? A. It was acquired by Cisco in 2004. Strike	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks? 17 A. No, he was not. 18 Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' 19 involvement ine Procket Networks? 20 A. So he was the representative from USVP who 10:42:43 21 was trying to fund and encourage Procket to grow. 22 Q. And did USVP fund Procket Networks?
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. Q. What happened to Procket Networks? A. It was acquired by Cisco in 2004. Strike that, Let me be more specific. The intellectual property and the majority	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks? 17 A. No, he was not. 18 Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' 19 involvement ine Procket Networks? 20 A. So he was the representative from USVP who 10:42:43 21 was trying to fund and encourage Procket to grow. 22 Q. And did USVP fund Procket Networks? 23 A. It did.
Q. And what were the competing Juniper products to the Procket Networks product? A. The M160 was the Juniper product, and the Cisco product was the GSR and later the CRS. Q. You said the GSR; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Does GSR stand for anything? 10:39:28 A. Yes, but I don't remember exactly what. Gateway switch router, but Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, did you work on the GSR when you worked at Cisco between 1991 and 1996? 10:39:49 A. Yes, I did. I helped with the initial design phases. Q. Is Procket Networks still in business? A. No, it is not. Q. What happened to Procket Networks? A. It was acquired by Cisco in 2004, Strike that. Let me be more specific.	4 Q. You mentioned Stuart Phillips? 5 A. That's correct. 10:42:01 6 Q. And you said that Mr. Phillips had been 7 your boss at Cisco; correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. And that was during the 1991 to 1996 time 10 period? 10:42:16 11 A. At the end of it, yes. 12 Q. Was Mr. Phillips still at Cisco when 13 A. No, he was not. He was a venture 14 capitalist at U.S. Venture Partners. 15 Q. Was Mr. Phillips one of the founders of 10:42:31 16 Procket Networks? 17 A. No, he was not. 18 Q. Was Mr. Phillips what was Mr. Phillips' 19 involvement ine Procket Networks? 20 A. So he was the representative from USVP who 10:42:43 21 was trying to fund and encourage Procket to grow. 22 Q. And did USVP fund Procket Networks?

1 you would automatically set up all of the VPN 2 connectivity. 3 Q. What did you do after you consulted for 4 Verio? 5 A. I'm not certain, but I believe that was 10:48:56 6 then I went back to Cisco at that point. 7 Q. And approximately when and feel free, 8 Mr. Li, to look at Exhibit 136.	1 A. I joined another networking firm called 2 Tropos Networks. Worked in the WiFi mesh arena. 3 Q. How long were you at Tropos Networks? 4 A. About nine months. 5 Q. Okay. Why did you leave Tropos? 10:51:32 6 A. I did not find the environment acceptable. 7 Q. And what did you do after you left 8 strike that.
9 A. Thank you.	9 When did you leave Tropos Networks?
10 Q. When did you return to Cisco for your 10:49:19	10 A. So I returned to Cisco, I believe, in 2008. 10:51:50
11 second stint?	11 Q. And how did you come to return to Cisco for
A. So it was fall of 2004.	12 your third time working there?
13 Q. And what did you do at Cisco when you	13 A. Correction. That was 2006, And that
14 returned in 2004?	14 was I returned there to help with working on a
15 A. So I joined the group working on IOS XR and 10:49:29	15 compiler for a network processor that Cisco was 10:52:11
16 specifically helped on the BGP protocol.	16 building.
17 Q. What is IOS XR?	17 Q. And did you reach out to Cisco to work on
18 A. This was a rewrite of Cisco's IOS operating	18 that project?
19 system in an attempt to do so in a modular fashion.	19 A. I did. I talked to Bill Lynch, who is my
Q. And you specifically helped on the BGP 10:49:56	20 supervisor, and reported to him. 10:52:30
21 protocol.	21 Q. And how long did you work at Cisco for this
Is that BGP the same BGP we've been talking	22 third period of time?
23 about today?	23 A. About one year.
24 A. It was.	Q. Can you describe for me what your work
Q. What type of help did you provide with 10:50:03 Page 70	25 involved on the compiler for the network processor. 10:53:00 Page 73
respect to the BGP protocol for IOS XR? A. So my first task was to help with some of the locking features inside of BGP. There were numerous performance problems that the implementation had, and I was improving performance 10:50:21 by converting some of the locking primitives to	A. So the network processor we were developing was an offshoot and derivative from — of the technology used at Procket for forwarding packets. At Procket we were hand-coding this in machine code with the assistance of some macros, but this was not 10:53:19 very productive.
7 faster mechanisms.	7 We observed that we could be more
8 Q. How did you come to return to Cisco in	8 productive if we had a higher-level language to work
9 2004?	9 in. I had some are experience in programming
0 A. I was interested in working with my friends 10:50:35	10 languages, so I set out to prototype the C compiler 10:53:34
1 again.	11 that actually worked for this network processor.
2 Q. So did you approach Cisco for the position?	12 And this is challenging because the
3 A. I did.	13 architecture of the network processor is
4 Q. And how long did you work at Cisco for that	14 substantially unlike a standard computer.
5 second period of time? 10:50:47	15 Q. You said it was an offshoot from the 10:53:57
6 A. So approximately a year.	16 technology at Procket Networks?
7 Q. And what did you do after that?	17 A. Yes.
8 A. Then I left to join another network,	18 Q. How was it an offshoot from the technology
9 another company called Portola Networks that I	19 from Procket?
0 started with my friend Vito Palermo. 10:51:03	20 A. So at Procket we had pioneered use of the 10:54:07
Q. How long were you at Portola?	21 systolic array of processors. This is a set of
2 A. Only a few months. We attempted to get	22 cores, CPU small CPUs inside of the chip, and the
3 funding. We did not. I decided to move on.	23 cores are arranged linearly, logically, and
4 Q. What did you do after you left	24 processing happens by passing context from one core
5 Portola Networks? 10:51:19 Page 71	25 to the next in a fully synchronous fashion. 10:54:28

1 Q. What was your involvement in strike	1 acronym was designated by the IETF.
2 that.	2 Q. What do you mean, "this acronym was
What is Exhibit 139?	3 designated by the IETF"?
4 A. It appears to be a copy of RFC 1887.	4 A. So the IETF, in selecting this protocol to
5 Q. What was your involvement in RFC 1887, 11:46:30	5 migrate to, decided that we should all refer to 11:49:
6 Mr. Li?	6 version 6 of the protocol as IPv6.
7 A. So Yakov and I coauthored or coedited this	7 Q. And how do you know that the IETF decided
8 document in an attempt to document a routing	8 that we all should refer to version 6 of the IP
9 protocol architecture a routing architecture for	9 protocol as IPv6?
10 IPv6. 11:46:45	10 A. I was there as part of the discussion. 11:49:27
11 Q. What is IPv6?	11 Q. What vendors were part of that discussion?
2 A. That is the next version of the Internet	12 A. I'm sorry. I don't recall.
3 Protocol. What a widely deployed right now today is	13 Q. Were there more than one vendor part of
4 known as IPv4. It has the problem that it does not	14 that discussion?
15 have enough address space and can only support about 11:46:59	15 A. Yes, many. 11:49:40
6. 4 billion hosts	16 Q. Do you recall if Cisco was part of that
	17 discussion?
	18 A. I believe so.
8 approved by the IETF and we're currently	
9 transitioning to IPv6, slowly.	19 Q. Do you recall if Juniper was part of that
Q. We're currently transitioning today, you 11:47:17	20 discussion? 11:49:48
1 mean?	A. I believe so.
2 A. Yes. Twenty years and counting.	Q. Were there any other acronyms relating to
Q. And I'm sorry. What was the date on the	23 routing protocols that the IETF decided should be
24 document marked as Exhibit 138, Mr. Li?	24 used to refer to those protocols?
 A. That appears to be March 1995. Page 106 	25 A. Yes, many. 11:50:05 Page 10
When was the first version of the document marked as 138 completed, to your knowledge? A. I would have to check my notes to be	 Q. What protocols did the IETF decide that everyone in the network industry should use in addition to IPv6? MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert
5 precise but somewhere approximately 1994. 11:48:04	5 testimony. 11:50:18
6 Q. Turning back to Exhibit 139, Mr. Li, what	6 THE WITNESS: So OSPF, BGP, RSVP, LDP,
7 is the date on this document?	7 HTTP.
8 A. December 1995.	8 BY MR. WONG: Q. Was "IS-IS" a a
9 Q. Is that the publication date for this RFC?	9 term strike that.
0 A. Yes, it is. 11:48:19	10 Did the IETF have any role in the decision 11:50:
Q. And was the document that is shown.	11 for IS-IS to be used by the networking industry?
2 Exhibit 139, was that completed before the	12 A. Somewhat. Again, IS-IS was originally
3 publication date shown on Exhibit 139?	13 standardized outside of the IETF. The IETF had the
4 A. Yes, it was.	14 responsibility of managing the usage of IS-IS for
5 Q. Do you know approximately when? 11:48:34	15 Internet Protocol routing. 11:51:14
A. Somewhere between '93 and '94.	16 Q. And to your knowledge, Mr. Li, based on
7 Q. Did you come up with the term "IPv6,"	17 your experience working in the industry, did various
8 Mr. Li?	18 vendors use those acronyms that you just listed out
9 A. No, I did not.	19 for me?
20 Q. Do you know who? 11:48:42	20 A. Yes, frequently. 11:51:38
A. No. Can't be specific.	21 Q. To what extent was there any belief that
	22 these acronyms for routing protocols were
	22 accoming to the control of the co
3 networking industry?	23 proprietary to any single vendor?
Q. Is IPv6 a well-known acronym in the an entworking industry? A. Yes, it is. It is a well-known acronym for I1:48:53	23 proprietary to any single vendor? 24 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 25 speculation. 11:51:58

THE WITNESS: So the aeronyms were never	1 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)
2 proprietary	2 BY MR. WONG: Q. The court reporter has
3 BY MR. WONG: Q. And on what facts do you	3 marked as Exhibit 140 a document bearing Control
4 base that opinion, Mr. Li?	4 Nos. ARISTANDCA00025927 to -25933.
5 A. So the acronyms were never published with a 11:52:06	5 Mr. Lî, have you seen this document before? 11:55:28
6 trademark or copyright notice attached to them.	6 A. I believe so.
7 Q. Did you ever believe personally that the	7 Q. What is the document marked as Exhibit 140?
8 use of OSPF, BGP, IP or any of the other acronyms	8 A. It appears to be a copy of RFC 1966, BGP
9 that we've been discussing today were proprietary to	9 Route Reflection.
10 any vendor? 11:52:32	10 Q. Did you what was your involvement, if 11:55:45
II A. No.	11 any, in the creation of the document marked as
Q. In your experience at multiple companies in	12 Exhibit 140?
13 the networking industry, did anybody else that you	13 A. So I helped discuss many of the concepts in
14 worked with express the belief to you that any of	14 this document. As part of the development and
15 these acronyms were proprietary to any vendor? 11:52:48	15 deployment of BGP, we found that we had numerous 11:56:02
16 A. No.	16 scalability issues that we needed to overcome.
7 Q. So in the 25 years that you have been	17 There were several approaches proposed. I helped
18 working in the networking industry, you have not	18 work on the Route Reflection proposal.
9 heard anybody express the belief that any of these	19 Some of the original work was proposed by
	20 Dimitry Haskin of Bay Networks. And as part of the 11:56:20
20 acronyms were proprietary to a single vendor? 11:53:08 21 A. That's correct.	
	21 IDR working group, we jointly discussed and came up
Q. Turning back to Exhibit 139, Mr. Li, first	22 with this proposal.
23 page further down, second paragraph from the bottom,	23 Mr. Bates and Mr. Chandra eventually wrote
24 the word "domain" is used.	24 up the actual document as you see it here.
25 Do you see that? 11:53:23 Page 110	25 Q. What is BGP Route Reflection? 11:56:34 Page 112
	7,07,7
I A. Yes.	A. BGP Route Reflection is a mechanism for
Q. Did you come up with the word "domain"?	2 taking routing information and reflecting it from
3 A. No, I did not.	3 one router to another through a third router. This
4 Q. Do you know who did?	4 allows for better scalability because it fixes the
5 A. I believe that was Dr. Rechter. 11:53:31	5 problem where BGP previously had where all BGP 11:57:03
6 Q. Do you know when Dr. Rechter came up with	6 routers within a particular AS had to be directly
7 the name "domain"?	7 interconnected. That led to some significant
A. I believe that he came up with that term	8 computational and configuration management
9 during the work for IDRP, and that flowed and it	9 challenges.
0 is semantically equivalent to Autonomous System, and 11:53:49	10 Q. Who came up with the phrase "Route 11:57:17
1 it flowed from his work in IDRP into both this	11 Reflection*?
2 document and the BGP specification.	12 A. I believe, but I'm not certain, that that
 Q. And how do you how do you know that, 	13 would be Mr. Haskin.
4 Mr. Li?	14 Q. And Mr. Haskin, to your recollection,
5 A. Direct work with both of those 11:53:58	15 worked for Bay Networks? 11:57:33
6 specifications.	16 A. It may have been Wellfleet at the time.
 Q. Okay. By the time of this RFC. 	17 Q. And just by implication from your answer,
8 December 1995, was "domain" a well-known industry	18 was Wellfleet acquired by Bay Networks?
9 term?	19 A. Bay and I'm sorry.
0 MR, PAK: Objection, Vague. 11:54:10	20 Yes. Bay Bay was the merger of Synoptix 11:57:52
THE WITNESS: No, it was not well-known and	21 and Wellfleet, and I believe he was on the Wellfleet
2 still is not very well-known.	22 side.
3 MR. WONG: Let's mark this one as 140.	23 Q. And why do you think that Mr. Haskin came
4 please.	24 up with the phrase "Route Reflection"?
25 (Exhibit 140 was marked for identification 11:54:45	25 A. So I believe he was the first one at IDR 11:58:11

1 know that, Mr. Li?	1 extending the CLI past where it had previously been
2 A. That was policy of the IETF and has been	2 We wanted to extend it in a way that was largely
3 well-recited many times within the IETF.	3 consistent with prior work.
4 Q. Mr. Li, do you know what the parser police	4 Q. What would you do to try to achieve what
5 is? 12:15:02	5 you call the principle of least surprise? 12:18:18
6 A. I believe you're referring to a mailing	6 A. So we would change the syntax or the
7 list within Cisco.	7 semantics of commands to the CLI and this
8 Q. What is your understanding of the parser	8 includes configure commands to match previous
9 police?	9 semantics.
10 A. So this was a group of people who were 12:15:18	10 Q. When you say "previous semantics," what do 12:18:31
11 selected to review, pass judgment and comment on	11 you what do you mean by "previous semantics"?
12 changes to the CLI.	12 A. So matching the syntax and the meaning of
13 Q. Were you a member of the parser police,	13 previous commands already in the system.
14 Mr. Li?	14 Q. Besides changing the syntax to match
15 A. I believe I was the original instigator of 12:15:35	15 previous semantics, is there anything else that the 12:19:03
16 the parser police and was certainly a proactive	16 parser police would try to do to achieve the
17 member of it.	17 principle of least surprise to the customer?
18 Q. And what time period were you a member of	18 A. Not that I can think of.
19 the parser police, approximately?	19 MR. WONG: Why don't we take our lunch
20 A. From the time it was founded in the early 12:15:47	20 break. 12:19:46
21 '90s.	21 THE WITNESS: Okay.
22 Q. What was the purpose of the parser police?	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
23 A. So Cisco's engineering culture did not	23 The time is 12:19.
24 provide for any mechanism for ensuring any kind of	24 (Luncheon recess taken from 12:19 p.m. to
25 consistency of the implementation of the CLI. The 12:16:04	25 1:05 p.m.) 01:05:04
Page 126	Page 128
 2 get people organized and try to ensure that other 3 engineers had a process whereby they could submit 	2
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 4 record. The time is 1:05. BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfinet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break.
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way.	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break.
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product?	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way. 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way. 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break.
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience.	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfact or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience. 20 Q. Besides consistency to the customer, were 12:17:35	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's 19 command sets?
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CL.I. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CL.I"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience. 20 Q. Besides consistency to the customer, were 12:17:35 21 there any other goals that the parser police had for	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's 19 command sets? 20 MR. PAK: Objection. Vague. 01:06:10 21 THE WITNESS: So the use of
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience. 20 Q. Besides consistency to the customer, were 12:17:35 21 there any other goals that the parser police had for 22 the Cisco command line interface?	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's 19 command sets? 20 MR. PAK: Objection, Vague. 01:06:10 21 THE WITNESS: So the use of 22 industry-standard terminology was encouraged largely
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience. 20 Q. Besides consistency to the customer, were 12:17:35 21 there any other goals that the parser police had for 22 the Cisco command line interface? 23 A. We would try to achieve what we called	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's 19 command sets? 20 MR. PAK: Objection. Vague. 01:06:10 21 THE WITNESS: So the use of 22 industry-standard terminology was encouraged largely 23 by the engineering community of which much of
4 work for review and get comments back and help us 5 create a much more standardized CLI. 12:16:25 6 Q. What do you mean by "standardized CLI"? 7 A. So it will be helpful to the customer if 8 the CLI operated the same or largely the same way 9 throughout the product. Without this, we ended up 10 with a different CLI commands that did radically 12:16:44 11 different things yet said the same thing to the 12 customer base, or worse, two functions that had to 13 be performed that did largely the same thing and yet 14 their CLI syntax was widely different. 15 Q. Why is it helpful to the customer if the 12:17:04 16 CLI operates in the same or largely the same way 17 throughout the product? 18 A. Again, it is useful for giving consistency 19 which simplifies the user's experience. 20 Q. Besides consistency to the customer, were 12:17:35 21 there any other goals that the parser police had for 22 the Cisco command line interface?	4 record. The time is 1:05. 5 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, before — before 01:05:15 6 the break when you were talking about your time at 7 USC, you mentioned that you did some Cisco training? 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Do you recall if Cisco paid for that 10 training? 01:05:33 11 A. I don't know who paid for it. It was 12 either Cisco or another regional network known as 13 Surfnet or the other regional network known as 14 Los Gatos. 15 Q. Now, we were talking about the parser 01:05:47 16 police before the break. 17 To what extent, if at all, was the use of 18 industry-standard terminology encouraged in Cisco's 19 command sets? 20 MR. PAK: Objection, Vague. 01:06:10 21 THE WITNESS: So the use of 22 industry-standard terminology was encouraged largely

1 marketing team who, as far as I could tell, wanted	1 directly with Cisco customers?
2 to encourage confusion,	 A. So there was a mailing list, cs@cisco.com.
3 BY MR. WONG: Q. And why do you say that	3 This was gatewayed into the mailboxes of various
4 the marketing team wanted to encourage confusion?	4 engineers. I caught a lot of the IP routing
5 A. They seem today prefer to introduce their 01:06:44	5 questions, and so I ended up having e-mail exchanges 01:09:16
6 own new terminology.	6 with customers.
7 Q. And on what facts are you basing your	7 We frequently also had training classes,
8 testimony that the use of industry-standard	8 and there was an interaction between customers and
9 terminology was encouraged by the engineering	9 engineers every Wednesday afternoon. We had
0 community? 01:06:58	10 would have a little party/reception for the 01:09:34
1 A. So again, the principle of least surprise	11 customers which was fondly known as beer and
2 meant that, when we could used a standardized term,	12 cookies, and we would sit and hang out and toss
3 we probably should because that's what the customer	13 things around.
4 base would be expecting.	14 I was also sometimes called upon into sales
5 Q. And did you encourage the use of 01:07:09	15 calls to have discussions with customers directly. 01:09:54
6 standardized terms when you were on the parser	16 Q. Is it from that experience that you are
7 police?	17 aware of networking errors occurring as a result of
A. As much as possible.	18 customers being unfamiliar with Cisco's CLI command
Q. To what extent, if at all, did you work	19 sets?
0 with other vendors to come up with any of the 01:07:2	
1 commands used in Cisco IOS?	21 Q. You mentioned training classes in your
2 A. We did not work with other vendors for	22 prior answer.
3 that.	23 Can you expand upon the type of training
4 Q. Have you strike that.	24 classes that you participated in while at Cisco.
5 During your time working at Cisco, had you 01:07:4	
Page 130	Page 132
1 ever heard of network errors occurring as a result	1 was while I was a customer only, and that was
2 of a user's unfamiliarity with Cisco's CLI?	2 several days I think two days and we basically
3 MR. PAK: Objection.	3 went through at the time the entire Cisco command
THE WITNESS: Yes. It was very common for	4 set as part of training exercise.
5 users to have configuration errors, and that 01:07:54	5 Q. And that participation as a customer, when 01:10:
6 resulted in network problems.	6 did that happen?
7 BY MR, WONG: Q. And what was the cause of	7 A. About 1987.
8 those configuration errors that you're talking	8 Q. And is that training how you became
The state of the s	
9 shout?	[]
	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface?
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53
O A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts,	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the
O A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos.	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period?
O A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. O And how do you know that configuration	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It
O A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. O And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands?	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos
O A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. O And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CLI commands?
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CL1 commands? 19 A. Frequently.
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted to configure the router in one way and had done	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CLI commands? 19 A. Frequently. 20 Q. And is that also from your direct 01:11:31
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted to configure the router in one way and had done something that did not cause what they were trying 01:08:47	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CLI commands? 19 A. Frequently.
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted to configure the router in one way and had done something that did not cause what they were trying 01:08:47 to do.	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CLI commands? 19 A. Frequently. 20 Q. And is that also from your direct 01:11:31
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted to configure the router in one way and had done something that did not cause what they were trying 01:08:47 to do. Q. And did you have communications with	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CLI commands? 19 A. Frequently. 20 Q. And is that also from your direct 01:11:31 21 interaction with Cisco customers?
1 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, 2 simple typos. 3 Q. And how do you know that configuration 4 errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? 5 A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 6 customers requesting help with particular 7 situations, and it was very obvious that the 8 customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted 9 to configure the router in one way and had done 0 something that did not cause what they were trying 01:08:47 1 to do. 2 Q. And did you have communications with 3 customers while you were working at Cisco?	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CL1 commands? 19 A. Frequently. 20 Q. And is that also from your direct 01:11:31 21 interaction with Cisco customers? 22 A. It was from my direct interaction with
A. Could have been anything. Unfamiliarity 01:08:08 with the command, unfamiliarity with the concepts, simple typos. Q. And how do you know that configuration errors were caused by unfamiliarity with commands? A. So we would quickly get e-mail from 01:08:30 customers requesting help with particular situations, and it was very obvious that the customer was trying to do one thing, had attempted to configure the router in one way and had done something that did not cause what they were trying 01:08:47 to do. Q. And did you have communications with customers while you were working at Cisco?	9 familiar with the Cisco IOS command line interface? 10 A. That and reading the manual. 01:10:53 11 Q. Who paid for training that you took in the 12 1987 time period? 13 A. Again, I'm not certain who paid for it. It 14 was one of Cisco, Surfnet, Los Altos Los Nettos 15 or USC. 01:11:14 16 Q. Were you aware from your time working at 17 Cisco of network errors occurring because of 18 confusion over CL1 commands? 19 A. Frequently. 20 Q. And is that also from your direct 01:11:31 21 interaction with Cisco customers? 22 A. It was from my direct interaction with 23 Cisco customers and certainly my own usage of

The second secon	Y 1620 1 154			
BY MR. WONG: Q. You also worked at 2 Juniper; correct?	1 this e-mail. 2 A. Okay.			
3 A. Yes, I did.	3 O. Please take a moment to take a look at the			
4 Q. What were the similarities or differences	4 e-mail in Exhibit 144.			
5 between how Juniper would provide training to its 01:22:37	5 A. Mm-hmm. 01:25:26			
6 customers' engineers on how to use Juniper's command	6 Q. And the e-mail address on this e-mail, one			
7 line interface as compared to Cisco's approach?	7 of the e-mails is tli@cisco.com.			
8 A. I was less involved in Juniper, but I	8 Do you see that?			
9 believe that they did largely the same thing.	9 A. Yes.			
0 Q. What's the basis for that belief? 01:22:55	10 Q. Is that your e-mail address? 01:25:36			
A. That's what I saw going on in the hallways,	11 A. Yes. Or was.			
2 so	12 Q. Was that			
Q. Did Procket Networks provide training to	13 A. Was at the time, yes.			
4 the engineers of its customers?	14 Q. And who is Peter Lothberg?			
15 A. Yes, very much so. We did exactly the same 01:23:07	15 A. That's a complicated answer. Peter was a 01:25:4			
16 thing. A lot of in-house training, a lot of	16 contractor. As of 1992, I believe he was reporting			
17 external documentation.	17 to the International Connection Manager Project that			
18 Q. And in so at all three of those vendors	18 was under contract to Sprint from NSF.			
19 that you worked for, the customers would pay the	19 Q. Now, in this e-mail on Exhibit 144 on the			
20 vendor either directly or indirectly to provide 01:23:28	20 first page it says, "We are getting lots of pressure 01:26:2			
21 training to their engineers; correct?	21 to improve the user interface."			
22 A. Yes.	22 Do you see that?			
23 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for	23 A. Mm-hmm.			
24 speculation.	24 Q. Do you know what that's referring to,			
25 BY MR. WONG: Q. And you know that because 01:23:36	25 Mr. Li? 01:26:33			
Page 142	Page 144			
1 of your personal experience working at all three of	1 A. Yes. There were lots of complaints about			
2 those vendors; correct?	2 the CLI. There were many people in the industry who			
3 A. Yes.	3 felt that a GUI interface was preferable to a CLI.			
4 Q. Did the Cisco CLI follow a command syntax?	4 Q. And the date of this e-mail is what,			
5 A. It tried to, 01:23:54	5 Mr. Li? 01:26:48			
6 Q. What do you mean by "it tried to"?	6 A. As shown, September 22nd, 1992.			
7 A. It was inconsistently applied, and so it	7 Q. Now, the sentence at the bottom of			
8 was difficult from command to command to say what	8 Exhibit 144 on the first page says, "I like the user			
9 the syntax was going to be.	9 interface, as I'm TOPS-20/ITS Emacs from the			
10 MR. WONG: What exhibit number are we on? 01:24:19	10 beginning." 01:27:03			
11 THE REPORTER: 144.	11 Do you see that?			
MR. WONG: Let's mark this one as 144.	12 A. Yes.			
13 (Exhibit 144 was marked for identification	13 Q. Do you know what that means, Mr. Li?			
14 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)	14 A. I believe that was Peter's response, and			
15 BY MR. WONG: Q. Okay. The court reporter 01:24:35	15 that's him indicating that he was familiar with 01:27:08			
16 has marked as Exhibit 144 a document bearing Control	16 TOPS-20, which is the DEC operating system I			
17 No. TS-00000066 to -67.	17 mentioned earlier, as well as ITS, which is an MIT			
18 And for the record, the top portion of	18 operating system. And then Emacs is a popular text			
19 first page of this exhibit has been redacted under	19 editor that several people were familiar with at the			
20 the protective order. 01:24:56	20 time. 01:27:26			
21 Mr. Li, just for your understanding,	21 Q. What were the similarities between the			
22 communications that you either sent or received we	22 Cisco user interface and TOPS-20?			
23 can show you under the protective order. The	23 A. So			
	24 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for expert			
24 portion that has been redacted is a communication	21 Mile 1711th Objection, Cans for expert			
24 portion that has been redacted is a communication 25 that you were not on, and so we have redacted it on 01:25:15	25 testimony. 01;27:35			

THE WITNESS: So the Cisco user interface was taken the model was TOPS-20, so many of the sexternal functionality in the CLI was similar to	much of the semantics was the same. BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "the syntax was completely different," was strike that.
4 TOPS-20. The specifics about the syntax and the	4 Why was the syntax completely different in
5 content were obviously somewhat different, and the 01:27:55	5 the Juniper's command line interface? 01:30:24
6 implementation was completely different.	6 A. So one of the complaints that we received
7 BY MR. WONG; Q. When you say "the	7 about the IOS syntax is that it was somewhat
8 implementation," are you what are you referring	8 haphazard and inconsistent. That made it very
9 to?	9 difficult for IOS to parse, and it also made it
A. So the TOPS-20 implementation, the code for 01.28:02	10 very, very difficult for customers to do anything 01:30:39
11 that was written in a machine-specific language and	11 with the language in any programmatic fashion.
12 had very specific set of code. We did not attempt	12 Customers wanted to generate and parse
13 to copy, reengineer or duplicate that in any way,	13 configuration information on their own, and the
14 shape or form. We simply copied some of the	14 Cisco mechanisms for doing that were very
15 functionality. 01:28:23	15 convoluted. The any reference to a syntactic 01:30:58
16 Q. So the source code strike that.	16 entity had to be taken in a very particular context
So when you're referring to the	17 which was only given by a stream of actually parsing
18 implementation being completely different, you're	18 the configuration itself. So if you lost any
19 referring to the underlying source code; is that	19 positional information in the stream semantics, you
20 correct? 01:28:32	20 couldn't parse the actual terms. 01:31:21
A. Yes. The source code was completely	21 The Juniper configuration syntax was
22 different	22 strictly hierarchical. It was very, very obvious
23 MR. PAK: Objection. Lacks foundation.	23 how to parse it, so it was much more modular.
24 BY MR. WONG: Q. Mr. Li, how do you know	24 Q. When you say "hierarchical" with reference
25 that the source code was completely different 01:28:41	25 to the Juniper CLI, what do you mean by that? 01:31:39
Page 146	Page 148
L. batavaan TOPS 20 and Circa IOS?	1 A I meant that subcastions in a even thing
1 between TOPS-20 and Cisco IOS?	1 A. I meant that subsections in everything
2 A. So I saw the Cisco IOS source code. It was	2 was descended in a hierarchical fashion so every
3 all written in C. It was all written by people at	3 every portion of the configuration was a section
4 Cisco.	4 which was a subsection of another subsection, and
5 The TOPS-20 source code very much was 01:28:52	5 that could cascade arbitrarily. 01:31:59
6 written in DEC 10 Assembler.	6 Q. So when you say "subsection of another
7 Q. And you had familiarity with the TOPS-20	7 subsection," can you provide me some examples of
8 command syntax; correct?	8 what you mean by that?
9 A. Yes, I did.	9 A. So for example, an IP address
Q. And what were the overlaps or similarities, 01:29:06	10 configuration, that would be a subset of a 01:32:14
If if any, between ITS or Emacs and Cisco IOS?	11 particular interface configuration which would be a
A. So I'm not familiar with ITS. I've	12 subset of the interface section. And so you
3 actually never had an ITS account.	13 could you select in descending the hierarchy,
4 Q. Okay. You can set that one aside.	14 you selectively selected things by specifying more
5 Mr. Li, when you joined Juniper, did you 01:29:29	15 and more specific information that you wanted to 01:32:34
6 work on the command line interface?	16 configure.
7 A. I assisted, yes.	17 Q. So correct me if I'm understanding [sic]
8 Q. What were the similarities, if any, between	18 your description of the hierarchy in the Juniper
9 the Juniper command line interface and the Cisco IOS	19 CLI.
20 command line interface? 01:29:53	20 You would go from at the top of the 01:32:47
MR, PAK: Objection. Calls for expert	21 hierarchy something broader, and then you would go
22 testimony.	22 to something more specific.
THE WITNESS: So the common features were	23 Is that what you're saying?
24 common references to industry-standard terms, common	24 A. That's correct.
25 concepts. The syntax was completely different, but 01:30:03 Page 147	25 MR. PAK: Objection. Objection. Vague. 01:32:59 Page 149

1 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 2 BY MR. WONG: Q. Did the Cisco IOS CLI 3 have that type of hierarchy that you just described? 4 A. Not explicitly. It was buried into — in 5 the semantics of the commands themselves, and so 01:33:15 6 that made it very difficult to parse. 7 MR. PAK: Also object that it calls for 8 expert testimony. 9 Go ahead. 10 BY MR. WONG: Q. Now, Mr. Li, you — did 01:33:27 11 you help — strike that. 12 What involvement did you have, if any, in 13 creating the command hierarchy for the Juniper CLI? 14 A. Very little, Much of that was done by 15 Paul Traina and another guy who I'm sorry, I forget 01:33:44 16 his name. I should remember. But he was the lead 17 for creating the Juniper CLI. 18 Q. So how do you know that the Juniper CLI is	1 Q. To what extent would have having an 2 explicit hierarchy have impacted the way the Cisco 3 IOS parser work? 4 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for 5 speculation. Calls for expert testimony. 01:35:58 6 THE WITNESS: So I do have a Ph.D. in 7 programming languages. Come on.
19 arranged in a hierarchical manner that you just 20 described? 01:34:00 21 A. It was one of the design discussions that 22 we had. 23 Q. And you were part of those discussions? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Now, you testified that the Cisco CLI was 01:34:06 Page 150	21 familiar strike that, 22 What was your experience in working with 23 the Cisco CLI parser when you were working at Cisco? 24 A. So I found there the parser went through 25 at least two major changes that I know about. The 01:36:46 01:36:46 01:36:46 Page 152
1 not explicitly hierarchical? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. What do you what do you mean by not 4 explicitly hierarchical? 5 A. So there was no syntactic mechanism for 01:34:22 6 explicitly going leaving one context and moving 7 to the next context. For example, when you were 8 done configuring a particular routing protocol, 9 there was no way of telling the parser, "Hey, I'm 10 done. Move on to the next thing." 01:34:40 11 The parser had to figure it out because the 12 next thing you started talking about didn't make 13 sense in the previous context, and so you had to 14 context-switch based on the exact commands 15 presented. 01:34:56 16 Q. How would the lack of an explicit hierarchy 17 make it difficult for the parser in Cisco IOS to 18 function? 19 A. So again, it had to understand all of the 20 possible terms that could be applied next. That 01:35:18 21 meant that it had to it had to have tables that 22 it checked, and every command that you gave you had 23 to check at the current level, plus the level above 24 that, plus the level above that. And in doing this 25 check it, well, took time. That was awkward. 01:35:36 Page 151	1 initial parser was very simple. It was very 2 straightforward to work with from a programmer point 3 of view, but it did not encourage any kind of 4 commonality, and it required a great deal of fairly 5 primitive coding on the part of all engineers. 01:37:30 6 About 1992, '93, Terry Slattery and I 7 think that's his e-mail on Exhibit 144 he was 8 contracted to do a rewrite of the parser and make it 9 all table-driven. And they improved it somewhat, 10 but it was still extremely challenging. 01:37:55 11 Q. And you know all that from your time 12 working at Cisco? 13 A. And interacting directly with Terry's team. 14 Q. And through your work at Cisco, you 15 became or how strike that. 01:38:13 16 How familiar were you with how the parser 17 and Cisco IOS worked? 18 A. The original implementation I helped debug, 19 so that was I had more than a passing familiarity 20 with it. Once Terry's team took over, I was able to 01:38:30 21 no longer do that, and his team owned that those 22 details. I simply was able to configure the tables. 23 Q. When you were working at Cisco, what 24 attention, if any, was paid to the command line 25 interfaces used by other vendors in the networking 01:38:53 Page 153

1 industry?	1 A. More precisely, we did not try to emulate
2 A. Very, very little. The infrastructure, the	2 the full command set since we were not a
3 look and feel of the Cisco CLI had already been set.	3 multiprotocol router. We tried to emulate the full
4 The model was very clear from TOPS-20. We didn't	4 command set found in the IP routing portion of Cisco
5 feel that we had to look too much to anybody else. 01:39:09	5 IOS plus all of the basic system management 01:42:28
6 Q. After you worked at Juniper, you worked at	6 commands.
7 Procket Networks; correct?	7 Q. And did you work directly in this process
8 A. Correct.	8 of mimicking the Cisco IOS CL1?
9 Q. Did the Procket router product have a	9 A. No. I was not directly involved in most of
0 command line interface? 01:39:39	10 the coding for that. 01:42:52
1 A. Yes, it did.	11 Q. So how do you know that the IP routing
Q. What was your role in the development of	12 command sets were replicated in the Procket Networks
3 Procket Networks' router CLI?	13 CLI?
4 A. So as founder, director of software	A. I mandated I effectively mandated that
5 engineering and pretty much the guy trying to drive 01:39:51	15 as a part of being supervisor. 01:43:07
the entire software effort, I pretty much had full	16 Q. What about command responses? Were the
7 control over that.	17 command responses in the Procket Networks router CLI
Q. And what decisions did you make when	18 the same as the command responses in the Cisco IOS
developing the Procket Networks router's CLI?	19 CLI?
A. So our goal was to make the Procket CLI be 01:40:14	20 A. They were the same, again, with exceptions 01:43:25
as compatible with the Cisco CLI as possible to	21 as noted previously.
2 maximize customer adoption.	22 Q. What type of can you give me an example
Q. What do you mean by "as compatible with the	23 of an exception that would apply to command 24 responses?
4 Cisco CLI as possible"?	
5 A. We attempted to completely mimic the 01:40:29 Page 15	25 A. I believe that the way we did things, we 01:43:37 Page 1:
4 specific syntax and semantic very specific 5 commands that they wanted corrected. 01:40:51 6 Q. How does completely mimicking the Cisco CLI 7 in Procket Networks' router ensure compatibility? 8 A. So it meant that the customers could issue 9 commands that were syntactically and semantically 0 identical to what Cisco did. 01:41:23 1 Q. And when you refer to the customers there, 2 you're talking about Procket Networks customers, 3 right? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. In what ways did the Procket Networks' 01:41:33 6 router's CLI mimic the Cisco IOS CLI? 7 A. We attempted to replicate the syntax and 8 semantics of the CLI completely at a functional	3 that were changed accordingly. 4 Q. How would customers communicate to Procket 5 Networks that it wanted a deviation from the Cisco 01:43:56 6 IOS CLI? 7 A. Typically we would receive an e-mail or 8 have a direct personal communication. 9 Q. Besides the command sets and the command 10 responses, what else did strike that. 01:44:13 11 Besides the command sets and command 12 responses, what other aspects of the Cisco IOS CLI 13 did Procket Networks mimic in its CLI? 14 A. We mimicked semantics, syntax, command line 15 completion, escape completion. As many as much 01:44:37 16 of the CLI as we possibly could. 17 Q. What is escape completion? 18 A. So if you're in the middle of typing a
level.	19 command and you hit "escape," it completes the
O So what aspects of the Cisco IOS CLI are 01:41:50	20 current word. "Tab," it does a completion, and then 01.44:52
were mimicked in the Procket Networks CLI?	21 prompts you for the next qualifier. There were a
A. Everything we could manage to functionally	22 variety of small features like that.
	23 Q. You testified earlier that customers of
recreate we find with a few exceptions as noted	2. I do semino bullet that bustomers of
	24. Cisco would get unset if even typos were corrected
Precreate we did, with a few exceptions as noted Q. Does that include the command set supported by Cisco IOS CLI? 01:42:13	24 Cisco would get upset if even typos were corrected 25 in command responses; correct? 01:45:12

A. Compat	1 MD DAV, PH instabligates the Pinner			
A. Correct. O. Did the Procket Networks CLI strike	1 MR. PAK: I'll just object to this line of			
Q. Did the Procket Networks CLI strike that	2 questioning as lacking foundation. 3 BY MR. WONG: O. Did you ever look at the			
To what extent did the Procket Networks CLI	4 command line interfaces that were used by			
5 preserve errors that might have been present in the 01:45:26	5 Foundry Networks? 01:48:13			
6 Cisco IOS CLI?	6 A. No, I did not.			
7 A. My directive to my team was to be	7 Q. So your knowledge of what Foundry was using			
8 bug-for-bug compatible,	8 came through I think you used the term "industry			
9 Q. And what does bug-for-bug compatible mean?	9 sources"; is that right?			
O A. That meant that we would endeavor to 01:45:37	10 A. Call it hearsay. 01:48:28			
1 recreate something that worked exactly like the	11 Q. What other industry sources besides the			
2 Cisco CLI, including the bugs. And that extended	12 ones you mentioned did you hear strike that.			
3 down into the functionality below the CLI too.	13 Were there any other industry sources that			
 Q. During the time period that you gave the 	14 you heard from regarding other vendors' use of			
5 directive to replicate the Cisco CLI, were what 01:46:05	15 Cisco-like CLIs? 01:48:50			
6 other vendors were using a CLI that were similar to	16 A. Network World, just a trade rag in the			
7 Cisco?	17 network industry. Stuff on the Internet. Mailing			
8 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for	18 lists such as the NANOG mailing list is very			
9 speculation.	19 popular.			
THE WITNESS: At the very least 01:46:25	20 Q. So you have a specific recollection of 01:49:09			
1 Foundry Networks was using this. I believe also	21 Networking World articles talking about other			
2 Extreme and Force10 were using this.	22 vendors using Cisco0like CLIs?			
BY MR. WONG: Q. And how do you know that,	23 A. That was one of the possible sources. I			
4 Mr. Li?	24 don't remember the specific sources.			
A. So I knew about Foundry directly and heard 01:46:36 Page 158	Q. Do you remember the mailing lists you 01:49:			
1 about it using the Cisco CLI through industry 2 sources.	mentioned discussing the use of under yendors using Cisco CLI?			
3 I had a friend who was working at Extreme,	3 A. So again, that's one of the possible			
4 and then I also have another friend who was at	4 sources. I don't recall exactly where I heard it			
5 Force10. 01:46:56	5 first. 01:49:33			
6 Q. And when did you first come to know that	6 MR. PAK: Again, I'll object to this line			
7 Foundry was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco's?	7 of questioning as lacking foundation.			
8 A. I don't remember the details but certainly				
9 prior to my joining Procket.	8 BY MR, WONG: Q. Why did you think it was			
Proceeding 1 to decir	9 okay to use the syntax of Cisco IOS CLI in the			
O And you joined Procket in 01-47-11				
	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53			
1 A. '99.)	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal			
1 A. '99. 2 Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion.			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS?	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before	10 Procket Networks CLI? 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 16 to.			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks?	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall.	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"?			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"? 19 A. Management at Procket Networks.			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that Force10 was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco 01:47:35	10 Procket Networks CLI? 01:49:53 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"?			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that Force IO was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco 01:47:35	10 Procket Networks CLI? 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"? 19 A. Management at Procket Networks. 20 Q. And who was part of the management at 21 Procket Networks?			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that Force 10 was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco 01:47:35 I IOS? A. I don't recall.	10 Procket Networks CLI? 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"? 19 A. Management at Procket Networks. 20 Q. And who was part of the management at 21 Procket Networks? 22 A. Bill Lynch, Sharad Mehrotra, I guess I			
Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that Force10 was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco 01:47:35 I IOS? A. I don't recall. Q. You don't recall whether that was before or	10 Procket Networks CLI? 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 01:50:06 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"? 19 A. Management at Procket Networks. 20 Q. And who was part of the management at 01:50:16 21 Procket Networks? 22 A. Bill Lynch, Sharad Mehrotra, I guess I 23 would also include Stu Phillips as investor.			
A. '99. Q. 1999. Thank you. And when did you first come to learn that Extreme Networks was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco IOS? A. I don't recall. 01:47:23 Q. Was do you recall whether it was before or after you started at Procket Networks? A. I don't recall. Q. And at what time did you discover that Force 10 was using a CLI that was similar to Cisco 01:47:35 I IOS? A. I don't recall.	10 Procket Networks CLI? 11 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for legal 12 testimony and expert opinion. 13 THE WITNESS: So we felt that we had ample 14 precedent with Foundry using Cisco's CLI. And since 15 Cisco was not pursuing that, we saw no reason not 16 to. 17 BY MR. WONG: Q. When you say "we," who is 18 "we"? 19 A. Management at Procket Networks. 20 Q. And who was part of the management at 21 Procket Networks? 22 A. Bill Lynch, Sharad Mehrotra, I guess I			

1 Cisco's CLI in the Procket Networks product?	1 There is 146.				
2 A. Yes, it was discussed.	2 (Exhibit 146 was marked for identification				
3 Q. And you have a specific memory of	3 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)				
4 discussing the Foundry precedent of using Cisco's	4 MR. WONG: One more. Let's mark this one				
5 CLI when making the decision to use Cisco's CLI in 01:50:51					
6 Procket Networks' router?	5 as 147, please. 01:54:59 6 (Exhibit 147 was marked for identification 7 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)				
7 A. Yes.					
8 Q. Were there any similarities with the	8 BY MR. WONG: Q. Court reporter has marked				
9 implementation of the command line interface between	9 three documents as Exhibits 145, 146 and 147.				
10 Procket Networks and Cisco IOS? 01:51:29	10 Mr. Li, please take a moment to look at 01:55:24				
11 A. No. In fact, we had looked at Terry's code	11 these three exhibits. Let's start with Exhibit 145.				
12 quite carefully but decided we did not like that at	12 Mr. Li, do you recognize Exhibit 145? 13 A. I do.				
13 all, and we reimplemented some mechanism that was					
14 completely backwards from what he was doing.	14 O. What is Exhibit 145?				
15 Q. So the source code used by Procket Networks 01:51:47	15 A. This is part of the documentation produced 01:55:39				
16 was different from the source code that was used by	16 by Procket Networks for an introduction to the				
17 Cisco IOS?	17 software that Procket produced.				
18 A. Correct.					
19 Q. Did Procket Networks ever ask Cisco for	Q. And how do you know that this was part of the documentation produced by Procket Networks?				
20 permission to use the command syntaxes of from 01:52:10	20 A. That's our logo on the top. 01:55:56				
21 Cisco IOS in Procket Networks' products?	21 Q. And had you seen when you worked at				
22 A. No, we did not.	22 Procket Networks any of the documentation that it				
23 Q. And why didn't you? Or strike that.	23 released for its products?				
24 Why didn't Procket Networks ask Cisco for	24 A. Yes, I helped manage that.				
25 permission to use the command sets? 01:52:33	25 Q. Do you have any doubt that Exhibit 145 is a 01:56:06				
Page 162	Page 164				
1 TW Children D. P. J. J.	I P. CANA I I A A				
A. We felt that, given the Foundry precedent,	1 Procket Networks documentation?				
2 that Cisco was not going to create an issue.	2 A. Well, I haven't examined it in microscopic				
3 Q. And Mr. Li, did Cisco ever object to	3 detail, but it largely looks familiar.				
4 Procket Networks' use of the Cisco CLI in the 5 Procket Networks' router? 01;53;15	4 Q. What is the title of Exhibit 145? 5 A. "Software Introduction." 01:56:25				
6 A. No, they did not.	5 A. "Software Introduction." 01:56:25 6 Q. Look at Exhibit 146, Mr. Li.				
7 Q. How do you know that?	7 Do you recognize Exhibit 146?				
8 A. So I was part of the Cisco Procket	8 A. Yes. This appears to be a copy of the				
9 Networks management. Some of the time I was a board	9 Procket Networks IPv6 Routing Protocols				
10 member, and Cisco never notified us that there was a 01:53:27	10 implementation. 01:56:43				
11 an issue. Cisco had ample opportunity, had board	11 Q. And how do you know that?				
12 observer rights at Procket and never issued any kind	12 A. This appears to be the documentation that				
13 of comment.	13 my team produced to document how you do IPv6				
14 Q. When you say Cisco "had board observer	14 routing.				
15 rights at Procket," what do you mean by that? 01:53:48	15 Q. And when you say that your team produced 01:56:51				
16 A. Cisco was an investor in Procket Networks	16 this, what do you mean by your team?				
17 and, as part of their investment, had a board	17 A. Again, I was helping to manage the software				
18 observer status at Procket. I don't know if they	18 documentation team.				
19 ever did anything with the materials that we sent to	19 Q. Do you have any doubt that Exhibit 146 is				
20 them, but we never received a complaint. 01:54:02	20 Procket Networks documentation? 01:57:07				
21 MR. WONG: Let's mark this as the next	21 A. No, none at all. That's our logo on the				
22 exhibit in order, please.	22 top.				
23 (Exhibit 145 was marked for identification	23 Q. If you look at Exhibit 147, do you				
24 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)	24 recognize Exhibit 147?				
25 MR. WONG: Let's mark several right now. 01:54:32	25 A. Yes, I do. 01:57:25				
Page 163	Page 165				
	42 (Pages 162 - 165)				

Q. What is Exhibit 147?	I document that Cisco produced during this case in the
2 A. This is the Procket Networks system	2 course of discovery.
3 management and operations guide.	3 A. Okay.
4 Q. And how do you know that, Mr. Li?	4 Q. If you could turn to page 5 of Exhibit 148,
5 A. This looks like the documentation that my 01:57:32	5 do you see that you are identified at the bottom row 02:01:08
6 team produced for management of the Procket router.	6 in page 5?
7 Q. Are there any other Procket Networks	7 A. I see that.
8 manuals in addition to the three that we just looked	8 Q. And similarly on page 10 of this document,
9 at: Exhibits 145, 146 and 147?	9 do you see that you are identified second from the
10 A. Yes. There's several. We're missing at 01:57:48	10 bottom row? 02:01:25
I least the IPv4 routing protocols guide. I believe	11 A. I see that.
2 there was a command reference guide.	12 Q. Page 13 of this document, do you see that
 Q. Do you have copies of any of the other 	13 you are identified twice in rows 2 and 3?
4 command reference manuals that haven't been marked	14 A. I see those.
5 today as exhibits? 01:58:06	15 Q. On page 20, Mr. Li, do you see that you are 02:01:38
16 A. No, I do not. I was asked to destroy all	16 identified in the last row of Exhibit 20?
7 copies as part of the acquisition.	17 A. I see that.
 Q. Who asked you to strike that. 	18 Q. I'm sorry. Excuse me.
9 When you say "as part of the acquisition,"	19 A. Page 20.
20 what do you mean? What do you mean by that? 01:58:17	20 Q. You are identified on the last row of page 02:02:02
A. When Cisco acquired the intellectual	21 20 of Exhibit 148.
22 property of Procket Networks, lawyers and managers	22 A. I see that.
23 on both sides directed everyone to destroy any	23 Q. And on page 21 of the same exhibit, the
4 intellectual property they had relating to	24 last three rows, do you see that your name is there?
25 Procket Networks. 01:58:37	25 A. I see that. 02:02:09
Page 166	Page 10
 Q. And because of that, you don't have any 	1 Q. On page 22, do you see that your name is
2 copies of Procket Networks documentation; is that	2 identified in the fourth row from the top?
3 correct?	3 A. I see that.
4 A. That's correct.	4 Q. And on page 28, your name is identified
5 Q. Do you know if Cisco would have copies of 01:58:43	5 second row from the bottom. 02:02:35
6 Procket Networks documentation?	6 Do you see that?
7 MR. PAK: Objection. Calls for	7 A. 1 see that.
8 speculation.	8 Q. Okay. Page 29, do you see your name
9 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.	9 identified second row from the top?
0 BY MR. WONG: Q. Did Cisco ever threaten a 01:58:52	10 A. I see that. 02:02:41
1 lawsuit against Procket Networks for using the same	11 Q. Then on page 30, third row from the top, do
2 CLI commands and command responses that were in	12 you see that your name has been identified?
3 Cisco IOS?	13 A. I see that.
4 A. Not to my knowledge.	14 Q. And finally on page 38, second row from the
5 (Discussion off the record.) 02:00:00	15 top, do you see that your name has been identified 02:03:03
6 MR. WONG: Let's mark this as 148, please.	16 there?
7 (Exhibit 148 was marked for identification	17 A. I see that.
8 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)	18 MR. WONG: Okay. Now, for ease of
9 BY MR. WONG: Q. The court reporter has	19 referring to the commands that are next to your
0 marked as Exhibit 148 a document that says on the 02:00:27	20 name, Mr. Li, let's mark as Exhibit 149 this 02:03:12
	21 document.
I front it is the "Cisco's Sixth Supplemental	21 document.
	22 (Exhibit 149 was marked for identification
2 Response to Interrogatory No. 16 and Response to	
21 front it is the "Cisco's Sixth Supplemental 22 Response to Interrogatory No. 16 and Response to 23 Interrogatory No. 19" dated January 12th, 2016, 24 Amended Exhibit F.	22 (Exhibit 149 was marked for identification
22 Response to Interrogatory No. 16 and Response to 23 Interrogatory No. 19" dated January 12th, 2016,	22 (Exhibit 149 was marked for identification 23 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.)

1 it would look like. And then we would fire off that 2 e-mail to the relevant developers in the group, say, 3 you know, what do you think. They would either say, 4 "Yeah, sounds good" or "No, that stinks. What about 5 this?"	Q. And the date of this e-mail is January 20th, 1996; right? A. Mm-hmm. Q. So the command being discussed in this e-mail is the "ip load-sharing" command; correct? 02:34:33 A. Yes. Q. Now, in the first full paragraph in Exhibit 150 that starts with the word "Yes," do you see that? A. Mm-hmm. Q. It says, "I didn't have much choice as a global command clearly wasn't sufficient and/or acceptable." Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. Do you know what that means? A. Yes. Again, Cisco's context Cisco's command line and configuration is hierarchical. So
19 commands listed on Exhibit 149? 20 MR. PAK: Objection. Compound. Lacks 02:32:40 21 foundation. 22 THE WITNESS: Not more than an hour or two 23 each. 24 BY MR. WONG: Q. And on what facts are you 25 basing that testimony? 02:32:46 Page 182	19 there are global commands, and then one of the 20 subcommand modes is interfaces. An interface is one 02:35:06 21 of the particular ports or external connectors on 22 the router, and each interface can be each 23 specific interface can be configured separately 24 So the proposal here is to configure 25 load-sharing on a per-interface basis. The reason 02:35:24 Page 184
A. On my experience implementing commands. MR. WONG: Let's mark this, please, as Exhibit 150. (Exhibit 150 was marked for identification by the court reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. WONG: Q. Court reporter has marked as Exhibit 150 a document bearing Control No. CSI-CLI-00746246. Mr. Li, please take a moment to look at Exhibit 150. C2:33:25 A. Okay. Q. What is Exhibit 150? A. This appears to be a mail from myself to Bill Westfield, also known as Chops, and it appears to be my response to his proposal. Q. So this is your response to Bill's rproposal; correct? A. I think. The the sample is lacking any indenting or indication of what was quoted here. But based on the context, I'm not sure exactly what C2:34:04 was proposal here and what was response. Q. Okay. Well, setting aside who did the original proposal, this was an e-mail that you sent; A. Yes. Page 183	1 for doing this, as indicated, would be people felt 2 that having this be a global knob, i.e., affect all 3 of the router equally, was unacceptable. 4 Q. When you said people felt that having a 5 global knob was unacceptable, what people are you 02:35:43 6 referring to? 7 A. I'm not sure who that was at the time. 8 MR. WONG: Let's mark this one as 151, 9 please. 10 (Exhibit 151 was marked for identification 02:36:10 11 by the court reporter and is attached hereto.) 12 BY MR. WONG: Q. And Mr. Li, you use the 13 word "hierarchical" in your response there. 14 Is it is it still your belief that the 15 Cisco IOS is not explicitly hierarchical? 02:36:30 16 A. It is not explicitly hierarchical in 17 configuration languages. Very convoluted as a 18 result. 19 Q. So what did you mean there when you said 20 that "configuration is hierarchical" when you 02:36:41 21 explained what when you explained that sentence 22 in Exhibit 150? 23 A. So again, the hierarchy is implicit; It's 24 not explicit. And so this configuration command 25 load-sharing would have an effect on a per-interface 02:36:57 Page 185

1	Q. And is the show int account the same	1	That did not mean it gave them complete design	
2	command or different command than Entry 12 in	2 parameters, but they were they had available to		
3	Exhibit 149?	3	them many of the discussions that happened at the	
4	A. That's a different command.	4 board level, and that included discussions about the 5 CLI and whether we could implement something that 6 was Cisco-like.		
5	Q. What would typing an account do in this 03:30:00			
6	brief in the serialized proposal that Mr. Hedrick			
7	was making here	7 Q. Who was the board representative at Cisco?		
8	MR. WONG: Objection. Vague.	8	A Graeme Fraser was the board representative	
9	BY MR. PAK: Q if you know?	9	Q. On behalf of Procket, did you specifically	
10	A. I'm very confused. 03:30:09	10	send a request to Cisco asking for permission to use	03:33:12
11	Q. When he type when he proposed "show int	11	the CLI interface?	
12	account," do you have a sense of what he was	12	A. No, we didn't see it was necessary.	
13	proposing in	13	Q. How about the command output? Did you se	eek
14	A. He's not proposing that. That was an	14	any explicit permission	
15	existing command. 03:30:21	15	A. No, we didn't think it was necessary.	:33:28
16	Q. Okay, Existing command. As an existing	16	Q. When you say "we didn't think it was	
17	Cisco command?	17	necessary," who were you referring to?	
18	A. Yes.	18	A. The Procket board in examining the	
19	Q. Are you aware of any specific customer	19	precedent set by Foundry and others felt that since	
20	documents that proposed the exact command expression 03:30:42	20	Cisco was not interested in protecting anything or	03:33:45
21	shown as show ip interface brief?	21	had no issues with this, would not care and simply	
22	A. Yes. This document.	22	we would be bothering them for no purpose.	
23	Q. And where does it say show ip interface	23	Q. But just so you're aware well, you	
24	brief in this document?	24	weren't aware at the time.	
25	A. The last sentence. 03:31:04 Page 226	25	Back in 2003 time period, you weren't aware	03:34:00 Page 22
1	Q. Okay. So here you would do show ip, but it	I	of Cisco's assertion of its intellectual property	
2	says int brief.		over the CLI interface as part of the Huawei	
3	A. But again, the command is the CLI does	3	litigation, were you?	
	command completion. So "int" is a common	4	MR. WONG: Objection. Vague.	
5	abbreviation for "interface." We don't really want 03:31:17	5	THE WITNESS: I was unaware of any the	03:34:15
6	to type all that.	6	details of Huawei, and by 2003 it was irrelevant	
7	Q. Who would determine in the CLI interface	7	anyway.	
8	which abbreviations would be spelled out by the	8	BY MR. PAK: Q. Were you aware that as	
9	auto-completion versus those that would not be?		part of that settlement Huawei was required to	
0	A. It was automatic. The parser would 03:31:39	10	change its CLI interface? 03:34:2	4
	automatically complete as soon as things were	11	A. No, I was not.	
	unambiguous.	12	Q. You didn't look into that at the time?	
3	Q. Do you know how Juniper implemented this	13	A. Didn't care.	
	particular command functionality?	14	Q. You mentioned something earlier about	
5	A. No, 1 do not. 03:31:53		network errors that would come about because of	03:34:39
6	Q. Let's go back to a few other things here		certain CLI commands that were used by Cisco	
	that was discussed. You mentioned the work you did	17	customers.	
8	at Procket.	18	 Test crash comes to mind, yes. 	
9		19	Q. And what did you mean by that?	20.10
		20	A. Cisco implemented a CLI command that	03:34:50
ı	i i		actually crashed the system intentionally.	
		22	Q. Were you aware of any CLI commands that	
13	Q. And were you providing Cisco with technical		resulted in network errors with Cisco customers for	
4	details of Procket's design at the time?	24	which the CLI commands were not changed -	
	A. So again, Cisco had board observer rights. 03:32:35		MR. WONG: Objection. Vague. 03	

	and the same of th
 Q. Rough date's fine. 	1 had no teeth, that Cisco management would ignore the
 A. A couple weeks ago we had a preliminary 	2 requests or the recommendations from the parser
3 conversation. Prior to that we had a phone call.	3 police anyway and ship anything that they darn well
4 Q. And who was involved?	4 felt like anyway.
5 A. The two gentlemen on my left, Brian and 03:39:42	5 Q. And you talked earlier about the tension 03:42:3
6 Ryan,	6 between the marketing groups and the engineering
7 Q. And what did they tell you?	7 groups within Cisco about how to design the CLI
8 A. I'm sorry?	8 interface for Cisco's products.
9 Q. What did they what did they say to you?	9 Do you recall that testimony?
10 A. You want a word-by-word transcription? 1 03:39:58	10 A. Mm-hmm. 03:42:49
11 don't have a memory like that.	11 Q. Based on your experience working at Cisco,
12 Q. At a high level, what was discussed?	12 did the engineering groups always win out, or did
13 A. We discussed the overall case. We had many	13 sometimes the marketing groups win in terms of the
14 of the same discussions you've already heard today.	14 CLI selection in?
15 Q. Did they did Arista's counsel ask you to 03:40:10	15 A. So largely, marketing was not too picky 03:43:0
16 be a testifying expert in this case? Did you do	16 about what we implemented as long as it was making
17 some type of arrangement?	17 the customer happy. There are a couple of
	18 exceptions that come to mind, but by and large it
 A. We have no arrangement whatsoever. Q. Did you prepare a declaration or expert 	19 was not an issue.
	20 Q. And what are some of the exceptions that 03:43:
20 report in connection with this case? 03:40:30	
21 A. No, I did not.	21 you can think of?
22 Q. Were you given access to any Cisco	22
23 confidential information prior to this case other	
24 than	
25 A. If any of this is Cisco confidential, I 03:40:52	25 Q. And what happened? 03:43:35 Page 236
Page 234	1 450 420
1 have no idea. I have no Cisco confidential	A. Basically the salespeople beat us with a
1 have no idea. I have no Cisco confidential2 information of my own outside of this case.	A. Basically the salespeople beat us with a stick until we gave in.
2 information of my own outside of this case.	2 stick until we gave in.
2 information of my own outside of this case.3 Anything from previous employment has all been	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back.
 2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the
 2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take ityou don't have any personal 03:41:07 	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53
 2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, 1 7 complained to management, and they suggested that
 2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I
 2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately,
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, 1 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I: 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection?	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s?
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here.	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I. 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, l. 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this.	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I: 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments?
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that. 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57 21 parser police before it's adopted?	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37 21 commands?
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of. 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57 21 parser police before it's adopted? 22 A. That depends on your definition of the word	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, 1 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37 21 commands? 22 A. No. Several people would.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57 21 parser police before it's adopted?	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37 21 commands? 22 A. No. Several people would. 23 Q. Do you recall some of the other authors?
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of. 03:41:17 11 Q. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57 21 parser police before it's adopted? 22 A. That depends on your definition of the word	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, I 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37 21 commands? 22 A. No. Several people would.
2 information of my own outside of this case. 3 Anything from previous employment has all been 4 either returned or destroyed. 5 Q. So I take it you don't have any personal 03:41:07 6 documents in your records relating to your work at 7 Cisco? 8 A. Well, of course I have my own personal 9 employment records, but nothing confidential that I 10 know of: 03:41:17 11 O. Were you shown any documents from Cisco's 12 files in preparing for today's deposition that 13 refreshed your recollection? 14 A. Nothing that's not already here. 15 Q. Were you shown some of these documents? 03:41:28 16 A. I believe Exhibit 149. I saw something 17 similar to this. 18 Q. Going back to the your work on the 19 parser police, to your knowledge did Cisco require 20 that every CLI command expression be approved by the 03:41:57 21 parser police before it's adopted? 22 A. That depends on your definition of the word 23 "require." Certainly prior to the existence of	2 stick until we gave in. 3 Q. By the time actually, let me step back. 4 So when did you become involved with the 5 parser police concept initially? 03:43:53 6 A. Pretty much from the founding. Again, 1 7 complained to management, and they suggested that 8 that was a way to fix the problem. Unfortunately, 9 they didn't mean it. 10 Q. And what time period are we talking about 03:44:07 11 here? 12 A. I have no idea. 13 Q. Was it in the early '90s? 14 A. Yes. Early '90s. 15 Q. Mr. Li, did any other engineers at Cisco 03:44:14 16 join you in your efforts to come up with the parser 17 police and provide comments? 18 A. Several others, but I don't recall who. 19 Q. When e-mails went around on the parser 20 police, were you the only one commenting on these 03:44:37 21 commands? 22 A. No. Several people would. 23 Q. Do you recall some of the other authors?

1	standards organization like IETF?	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This marks the
2	A. I have never seen anyone do that. I have	2	end of DVD No. 4 in the deposition of Anthony Li.
3	never seen Cisco have any UI patents; so I don't	3	Going off the record. The time is 4:17. 04:17:29
4	understand.	4	(TIME NOTED: 4:17 p.m.)
5	Q. Mr. Li, is there any other views or 04:15:36	5	000
6	opinions that you have with respect to this case	6	
7	that you have not shared with us on the record that	7	
8	you would like to share with us now?	8	
9	MR. WONG: Objection. Vague.	9	
10	THE WITNESS: 1 don't understand your 04:15:55	10	
11	question.	11	
12	BY MR. PAK: Q. We talked about a lot of	12	
13	different topics. I'm giving you the opportunity to	13	
14	provide any further testimony that you would like on	14	
15	any of these topics if you'd like it. 04:16:05	15	
16	A. So I don't understand what intellectual	16	
17	property people think there is in some CLI syntax.	17	
18	The intellectual property is that's of	18	
19	significance gets covered in patents. If we thought	19	
20	it was worth protecting, we would copyright it. We 04:16:22	20	
21	would patent it.	21	
22	MR. WONG: Object to the form of the	22	
23	question.	23	
24	BY MR. PAK: Q. Do you believe that	24	
25	copyright is a form of intellectual property? 04:16:34	25	
	Page 254		Page 256
1	MR. WONG: Objection. Calls for opinion	1	I, ANTHONY J. LI, do hereby declare under
1	testimony.	1	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
3	THE WITNESS: It calls for legal testimony.	ł	transcript; that I have made any corrections as appear
1	I don't understand.	1	noted, in ink, initialed by me, or attached hereto; that
5	BY MR. PAK: Q. What is your understanding 04:16:44	1	my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is true
l	of copyright law?	1	and correct.
7	MR. WONG: Same objection.	7	Executed this day of ,
8	THE WITNESS: Vague as best.		2016, at,,
9	BY MR. PAK: Q. I take it, sir, that you	9	(city) (state)
1	haven't analyzed any copyright laws relating to 04:16:56	10	(-15)
1	interface, APIs, user interfaces?	11	
12	A. I know that I'm supposed to put a copyright	12	
l	notice in the top of every source code file. That's	13	
	about all I know.	14	
15	Q. Okay. 04:17:08	15	ANTHONY J. LI
16	A. I can't even tell you for certain what I'm	16	Volume I
1	supposed to put in the top of the file because	17	
1	nobody can tell me exactly how I should deal with	18	
	multiple years.	19	
20	MR. PAK: Thank you. Sir, I think those 04:17:18	20	
1	are the questions I have for you today.	21	
22	MR. WONG: I have no further questions.	22	
1	////	23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 255	-	Page 257

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review for the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee for any attorney or any party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. In Dated: February 3, 2016 CSR No. 11661, RPR, CCRR, CLR Page 258	
	4
	.7, 0